STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Issue

Reg. No.:

20115671

No.:

6022

Wavne

Case No.:

March 24, 2011

Hearing Date: DHS County:

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. Burke

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, at elephone hearing was held on March 24, 2011. Cl aimant appeared and tes tified. The Department of Human Services (Department) was represented by Case Manager

<u>ISSUE</u>

Was the Department correct in its determination of the start date of payments to Claimant's child care provider under the Child Development and Care (CDC) program?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantia I evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- On August 8, 2010, Claimant applied for day care with an unlicensed provider.
- 2. On September 13, 2010, the Department approved Claimant's application.
- 3. Claimant's day care provider completed the Great Stiart to Quality Orientation Training on October 16, 2010.
- 4. The Department authorized payments beginning with the pay period of October 10, 2010.

5. Claimant requested a hearing on October 28, 2010.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The CDC program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and MAC R 400.5001-5015. Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

BEM 703 dictates that to enroll unlicens ed (Aide/Relative) providers, the Department must certify that the provider meets all of the requirements, including proof of identity and proof of age. The Department must also complete background clearances and enroll the provider in Provider Management training. The policy specifically states:

Providers are eligible for payment starting with the pay period that holds the training date. Payments for any care provided prior to the training date can not be authorized or paid. BEM 703, p. 6.

In the present case, Claimant's provider completed training on October 16, 2010, and the payment for the provider started October 10, 2010, within the proper pay period. Claimant argues that had she known of the necessity to complete the class esprior to payment, the training would have been completed prior to the October 16, 2010, date. Claimant also argues that she made an application in June of 2010, but that application was not processed in a timely fashion. It is understandable that Claimant is concerned that there was a delay from the time of application to the time of payment. However, nothing in policy allows for payment prior to the date of completed training.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law, finds that the Department was correct in its determination of the start date for payment to Claimant's child care provider.

20115671/SCB

Therefore, it is ORDERED t hat the Department's decision in this matter is hereby AFFIRMED.

Susan C. Burke
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 13, 2011

Date Mailed: April 13, 2011

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde rarehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

SCB/hw

cc: