## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN	ITL		МΛ	TI	TER.	$\mathbf{a}$	
117		1E I	VI / 1	\			Г.

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2011 54864 6019 December 15, 2011 Oakland County DHS (03	3)
	,		,
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris			
HEARING DECIS	ION		
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for telephone hearing was held on December of Participants on behalf of Claimant included the r. Participants on behalf of Department included Fig., FIM.	for a hearing. 7 15, 2011, from Claimant and a	After due notice, a Detroit, Michigan. witness,	
<u>ISSUE</u>			
Due to a failure to comply with the verification properly ☐ deny Claimant's application ☒ close 0 benefits for:			
		ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)?	
FINDINGS OF FA	<u>ACT</u>		
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the evidence on the whole record, including testimony	•	•	
1. Claimant ☐ applied for ⊠ was receiving: ☐FI	P □FAP □MA [	□SDA ⊠CDC.	
2. Claimant ☐ was ⊠ was not provided with a Ve	rification Checklis	st (DHS-3503).	
3. Claimant was required to submit requested veri	fication by June 1	, 2011.	

4.	On July 3, 2011, the Department  denied Claimant's application  closed Claimant's case reduced Claimant's benefits for failure to submit verification in a timely manner.
5.	On June 17, 2011, the Department sent notice of the denial of Claimant's application.  Closure of Claimant's case.  reduction of Claimant's benefits.
6.	On June 28, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the denial. $\boxtimes$ closure. $\square$ reduction.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	epartment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges gibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Re 42 Ag 31	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence lency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 400.3101-31. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective ctober 1, 1996.
pro imp Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence lency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 0.3001-3015
Se Th	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the A program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
for as	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 0.10, et seq., and 1998-2000 AACS R 400.3151-400.3180.
	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE d XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of

1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1997 AACS R 400.5001-5015.

Additionally, the Claimant credibly testified that she filed a change of address with the Department in January 2011 and presented a letter that was sent to her old address by the Department as late as July. Under these circumstances, the Claimant did not refuse to cooperate with the Department's recertification request, and therefore the Department's closure of her CDC case as o 7/3/11.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department $\square$ properly $\boxtimes$ improperly
<ul><li>☐ closed Claimant's case.</li><li>☐ denied Claimant's application.</li><li>☐ reduced Claimant's benefits.</li></ul>
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department $\square$ did act properly. $\square$ did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's decision is $\square$ AFFIRMED $\boxtimes$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
$oxed{\boxtimes}$ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

to July 3, 2011 and supplement the Claimant for CDC benefits she is otherwise entitled to receive in accordance with Department policy.

2. The Department shall, if necessary, enter the Claimant's current and correct address

1. the Department shall retroactively reinstate the Claimant's CDC benefits retroactive

The Department shall, if necessary, enter the Claimant's current and correct address as set out in this decision in its Bridges System.

> Lynn M. Ferris Administrative Law Judge

For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 12/20/11

Date Mailed: 12/20/11

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases).

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
  of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail to:

Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## LMF/hw

