## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE **DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

IN THE MATTER OF:				
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2011 54432 3015 October 19, 2011 Wayne County (15)		
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris				
HEARING DECISION				
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on October 19, 2011. from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included ES				
<u>ISSUE</u>				
Due to excess income, did the Department properly $\square$ deny the Claimant's application $\boxtimes$ close Claimant's case $\square$ reduce Claimant's benefits for:				
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐		sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?		
FINDINGS OF F	<u>ACT</u>			
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, finds as material fac		rial, and substantial		
Claimant ☐ applied for benefits for: ☐ re	ceived benefits fo	r:		
Family Independence Program (FIP).  Food Assistance Program (FAP).		ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA).		

Medical Assistance (MA).

Child Development and Care (CDC).

۷.	On 7/1/11, the Department
3.	On 7/5/11, the Department sent  Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)  notice of the denial. Closure. reduction.
4.	In June 2011 the Department used earned income based upon the Claimant's verification of earnings as follows, June 3, \$734.25; June 10, \$948; June 17, \$668; and on June 24, \$882.75.
5.	The gross income used by the Department to determine the Claimant's FAP eligibility was \$2527.
6.	The Claimant has a FAP group of 4 persons but the budget when calculated in July 2010 did not include the Claimant in her FAP group due to an outstanding non compliance with child support.
7.	On September 20, 2011, Claimant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting the
	denial of the application. Solution of closure of the case. In reduction of benefits.
	☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case. ☐ reduction of benefits.  CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
Bri	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW epartment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the
Bri ad Re 42 Ag	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW  Expartment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the idges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).  The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is

☐ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and MCL 400.105.
☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.
☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.
Additionally, The Department reviewed the Claimant's earned income when she applied for CDC benefits and determined that her gross income as reported by the Claimant exceeded the gross income limit for a FAP group of 3 persons which is \$1984. RFT 250. Exhibit 1.
The Department correctly determined based on the gross income of the Claimant that she was no longer entitled to FAP benefits. The Claimant's gross income for the month of June based upon the wage verification reviewed at the hearing totaled was \$3233. A formula is applied to determine monthly gross income. Once the gross income amount is determined the gross income is then divded by 4 to get the average weekly amount which equals \$808.25. This sum is then multiplied by 4.3 to determine the gross monthly amount, which totals \$3475. BEM 505. This total is higher than the total as determined by the Department, which was \$2527, but does not change the result. The Department's gross income determination of \$2527, which appears incorrect, based on the income amount presented as evidence at the hearing, still exceeds the \$1984 income limit.
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess income, the Department $\square$ properly $\square$ improperly
<ul><li>☐ denied Claimant's application</li><li>☐ reduced Claimant's benefits</li><li>☐ closed Claimant's case</li></ul>
for:

**DECISION AND ORDER** 

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department   ☐ did not act properly. ☐ did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's  AMP  FIP  FAP  MA  SDA  CDC decision is  AFFIRMED  REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
$\hfill \square$ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

Lynn M. Ferris
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: October 21, 2011

Date Mailed: October 21, 2011

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

LMF/hw

