STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

п	1 TI		BA A	T-	ΓFR		┏.
П	u II	HE	IVI	۱ı	ırk	U	-

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2011-54354 2018 December 5, 2011 Oakland (03)					
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Alice C. El	kin						
HEARING D	<u>ECISION</u>						
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim — ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Dece mber 5, 2011, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant and Claimant and Authorized Hearing Represent ative (AHR). Participant is on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included							
<u>ISSU</u>	<u>E</u>						
Did the Departm ent properly ⊠ deny Claima for:	n t's application 🔲 cl	ose Claimant's case					
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?		sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?					
FINDINGS OF FACT							
The Administrative Law Judge, based on t evidence on the whole record, finds as materia	he competent, materi al fact:	al, and substantial					
1. Cla imant ⊠ applied for benefits □ receive	ed benefits for:						
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).☐ Medical Assistance (MA)	State Disability A	ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA).					

2.	On May 27, 2011, the Department					
3.	On May 27, 2011, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. Closure.					
4.	On August 23, 2011, Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting the \square denial of the application. \square closure of the case.					
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW						
	partment policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).					
☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established purs uant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, <i>et seq</i> . The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> ., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.						
pro imp Re Ag	The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) ogram] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is olemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal gulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 ough Rule 400.3015.					
Se Th Ag	The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independ ence ency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 0.105.					
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .					
for Se pro	The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The D epartment of Human rvices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400. 3151 through Rule 0.3180.					

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Depart ment provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, Claimant applied for MA coverage on August 30, 2010, with retroactive coverage to May 20, 2010. On May 27, 2011, the Department denied the application. At the hearing, Claimant's AHR clarified that Claimant was appealing the Department's denial of her MA coverage under the Group 2 MA program.

At the hearing, the Department testified that that it sent Claimant a Verification Checklist (VCL) on February 24, 2011. Based on the in formation Claimant provided in response to this VCL, on April 12, 2011, the Department sent another VCL, seeking, among other things, verification of the source of deposit s to Claimant's bank account in excess of \$1000 per month from May 2010 to February 2011. The initia I April 22, 2011, due date was extended to May 2, 2011, and then to May 23, 2011. The Department credibly testified that it informed both Claimant and Claimant's AHR that it needed a name and telephone number for the individuals who made deposits to Claimant's account.

Claimant testified that s he had complied with the Department's request for this information and pointed to the letters from her husband and var ious other indiv iduals she submit ted to the Depart ment by the May 23, 2011, due date. The Department acknowledged receiving the letters but te stified that it needed Claimant's husband to identify and provide c ontact information for the individuals who had made the depos its indicated on the handwritten lis the prepared. Claimant credibly te stified that these listed deposits were actually made by her husband to their joint account. The funds were intended to cover Claimant's expenses for a trip to Africa and for other expenses she incurred.

The Department contended that Claimant's testimony was not consistent with the statement by Claimant's hus band on the first page of his handwritten note where he wrote that he and Claimant "were saving for a trip, and money was put up by a couple of friends, to make up the large amount of money." While the letter is not the most artfullyworded document, it is not inconsistent with Claimant's testimony that the deposits listed on the second page were made by Claimant's husband to t heir joint account and other funds were received by Claimant from friends, as identified on the other notes Claimant submitted to the Department with the letter from her husband.

The Department admitted that it did not seek any clarification from Claimant concerning the list provided by Claimant 's husband despite the fact that the information had been timely submitted by the ex tended due date. The Dep artment also acknowledged that Claimant's husband's income was already considered in the calculation of Claimant's MA budget and deposits he made to their joint bank account would not be relevant to processing Claimant's MA application. Because the Department had the verifications

requested and Claim ant did not have any further proof to provide with respect to the source of the deposits to her account, the Department improperly denied Claimant's MA application on the basis that she had failed to provide requested verifications concerning deposits to her bank account.

concerning deposits to her bank account.
Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Co nclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department
☐ properly denied Claimant's application ☐ improperly denied Claimant's application ☐ improperly closed Claimant's case
for:
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department ☐ did act properly. ☐ did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \square FAP \boxtimes MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is \square AFFIRMED \boxtimes REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
oxtimes THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:
 Reregister Claimant's August 30, 2010, MA application with retroactive coverage to May 20, 2010; Begin reprocessing the application in accordance with Department policy; Notify Claimant in writing of its decision in accordance with Department policy.
110 a
Alice C. Elkin

Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director

Department of Human Services

Date Signed: December 20, 2011

Date Mailed: December 20, 2011

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

2011-54354/ACE

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, math ematical error, or other obvious errors in the he aring decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

ACE/cl

