#### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 201153518 Issue No.: 3008 Case No.: Hearing Date: County:

October 17, 2011 Wayne County DHS (31)

## ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris

## **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on October 17, 2011, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included . ES.

### ISSUE

Due to a failure to comply with the verification requirements, did the Department properly  $\prod$  deny Claimant's application  $\bigotimes$  close Claimant's case  $\prod$  reduce Claimant's benefits for:

Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

State Disability Assistance (SDA)? Child Development and Care (CDC)?

Medical Assistance (MA)?

## FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant applied for was receiving: FIP KAP MA SDA CDC.
- 2. Claimant 🛛 was 🗍 was not provided with a Verification Checklist (DHS-3503).
- 3. Claimant was required to submit requested verification by July 25, 2011.
- 4. On 9/1/11, the Department denied Claimant's application

2011-53518/LMF

 $\boxtimes$  closed Claimant's case

reduced Claimant's benefits

for failure to submit verification in a timely manner.

5. On 8/30/11, the Department sent notice of the

denial of Claimant's application.

 $\overline{\boxtimes}$  closure of Claimant's case.

reduction of Claimant's benefits.

6. On 9/8/11, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial. ⊠ closure. ☐ reduction.

# CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance
for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known
as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL
400.10, <i>et seq</i> ., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

#### 2011-53518/LMF

Additionally, the Claimant testified that she did not receive the 7/15/11 verification checklist addressed to her at the correct address. Exhibit 1. The Department closed the Claimant's FAP case on 9/1/11 when it did not receive the requested information.

On August 11, 2011 the Claimant had a redetermination interview and was advised that she was required to submit verification of employment of (her daughter). The Claimant did not submit the verification of employment or any other proof of employment for her daughter as requested by her caseworker during the redetermination interview. The Department also assisted the Claimant by checking the Work Number, but did not find any information regarding e. The Claimant's daughter. Jazmyne, moved out of the house at the end of August or the beginning of September 2011. Based on the fact that it never received the requested verification of employment and earnings, the Department closed the Claimant's case for failure to verify information regarding employment.

Claimants are required to verify employment and income so that FAP benefits can be properly computed. Claimants are also required to provide the Department with correct and accurate information when a redetermination is held. Failure to verify the information can result in case closure. BAM 130. At the time the Claimant was requested to verify the information the Claimant's daughter still resided with her and was available to obtain the information. The Claimant confirmed that her daughter was aware she needed to provide employment information and did not do so. The Claimant did not have a reasonable excuse for failing to provide the information.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department ⊠ properly improperly

 $\boxtimes$  closed Claimant's case.

denied Claimant's application.

reduced Claimant's benefits.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department  $\boxtimes$  did act properly. did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is  $\square$  AFFIRMED  $\square$  REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

Lvnn M. Ferris

Administrative Law Judge

Date Signed: October 19, 2011

Date Mailed: October 19, 2011

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the receipt date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
  of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

LMF/hw

