STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2011-53476

Issue No.: 1015; 2015; 3003; 5013

Case No.:

Hearing Date: November 10, 2011

County: Wayne (57)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Alice C. Elkin

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administ rative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on November 10, 2011, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant inclu ded Claim ant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Depar tment) included Family Independence Manager.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly pay Claimant benefits for Family Independence Program (FIP) and Food Assistance Program (FAP) for July 1, 2011, ongoing?

Did the Department properly deny Medical Assistance (MA) coverage for Claimant's son for July 1, 2011, ongoing?

Did the Department fail to process Claimant's July 28, 2011, application for State Emergency Relief (SER) assistance?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was an ongoing recipient of FIP and FAP benefits.
- 2. Claimant's son was an ongoing recipient of MA coverage.

3. The Department acknow ledged that was improperly removed from Claimant's FIP and FAP group and denied MA coverage. 4. Claimant's FIP and FAP budgets for July 1, 2011, ongoing included earned income received by Claimant. 5. Claimant filed a SER application on July 26, 2011. 6. The Department did not process Claimant's SER application. 7. On August 9, 2011, Claimant filed a request for hearing, disputing the Department's action. **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW** Department policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT). The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established purs uant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independe nce Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 t hrough R 400.3131. FI P replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. The Food Assistance e Program (FAP) [for merly known as the Food States mp (FS) program] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed. and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independenc e Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seg., and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 through R 400.3015. The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). Services (formerly known as the Family Independ The Department of Human ence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10. et seq ., and MC L 400.105.

Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, <code>et seq.</code>, and 2000 AACS, R 400.3 151 through R 400.3180.

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial ass istance

2004 PA 344. The D

established by 42 USC 1315, and is

epartment of Human

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is

for disabled persons, is established by

administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seg.

The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Child Care and Developm ent Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Feder al Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Depart ment provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Admin Code, R 400.5001 through R 400.5015.
The State Emergency Relief (SER) program is establ ished by 2004 PA 344. The SER program is administered pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and by, 1999 AC, Ru le 400.7001 through Rule 400.7049. Department polic ies are found in the State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).
Additionally, the Department acknowledged that it erroneously excluded Claimant's son from Claiman t's FIP and FAP case from July 1, 2011 ongoing and denied MA coverage from July 1, 2011 ongoing. The Department also testified that Claimant's FIP and FAP budgets for July 1, 2011, ongoing also improperly included earned income Claim ant was no longer receiving. The Department attempted to remedy these problems by issuing FIP and FAP supplements and reinstating MA coverage for July 1, 2011, ongoing. However, it could not access any aspect of Claimant's case on its system in order to correct its errors. The Department had filed a ticket with Lansing seeking assistance six weeks prior to the hearing date, but the issue had not been resolved. Because Claimant's case was "frozen" on its system, the Department had also been unable to process Claimant's July 28, 2011, SER application. Thus, it viol atted its standard of promptness for issuing a decision on the application. ERM 103. By failing to (i) time ly correct the errors in Claimant's FIP and FAP budgets and issue supplements due to Claimant, (ii) reinst ate MA coverage, and (iii) process Claimant's July 26, 2011, SER application, the Department did not act in accordance with Department policy.
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly when .
did not act properly when it failed to recalculate Claimant's FIP and FAP budgets and issue supplements for Claimant's FIP and FAP benefits for July 1, 2011, ongoing; failed to reinstate MA coverage for July 1, 2011, ongoing; and failed to process Claimant's July 26, 2011, SER application.
Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \boxtimes FIP \boxtimes FAP \boxtimes MA \square SDA \square CDC \boxtimes SER decision is \square AFFIRMED \boxtimes REVERSED for the reasons stated above and on the record.

☐ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Begin recalculating Cla imant's FIP and FAP budgets for July 1, 2011, ongoing to include Cla imant's son as a group member and to use correct figures for Claimant's earned income in accordance with Department policy;
- 2. Issue supplements to Claimant for any FIP and F AP benefits which Claimant was entitled to receive but did not for July 1, 2011, ongoing;
- 3. Provide M A coverage for Claimant's s on accordance with Department policy;
- 4. Begin processing Claimant's July 26, 2011, SER application; and
- 5. Notify Claimant of its dec ision on the S ER matter in writing in accorda nce with Department policy.

Alice C. Elkin Administrative Law Judge r Maura Corrigan, Director

for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: December 13, 2011

Date Mailed: December 13, 2011

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request

P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

ACE/ctl

