STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	201153169 1000 October 13, 2011 Wayne DHS (41)
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Christian Gardo	ocki	
SETTLEMENT OR	DER	
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for telephone hearing was held on October 13, 2011, on behalf of Claimant included Claimant's spouse behalf of the Department of Human Services (Diamanager.	for a hearing. from Detroit, Mide, e, pepartment) inclu	After due notice, a chigan. Participants . Participants on
ISSUE		
Whether the Department properly:		
□ denied Claimant's application for benefits □ closed Claimant's case for benefits □ reduced Claimant's benefits		
for:		

FINDINGS OF FACT

☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)?

Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?

Medical Assistance (MA)?

State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

State Emergency Services (SER)?

Child Development and Care (CDC)?

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1.	On an unspecified date, the Department:
	 ☑ denied Claimant's application for benefits ☐ closed Claimant's case for benefits ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits
	under the following program(s):
2.	On an unspecified date, the Department sent notice to Claimant (or Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative) of the:
	☑ denial☐ closure☐ reduction.
3.	On 9/1/11, Claimant filed a request for hearing concerning the Department's action.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
Eligibi	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW The three
Eligibi Relief X Th Respo 42 Us Ageno throug	tment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges lity Manual (BEM), the Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and the State Emergency

2011-45565/CG

☐ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and MCL 400.105.
The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .
☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.
The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.
The State Emergency Relief (SER) program is established by 2004 PA 344. The SER program is administered pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and by 1999 AC, Rule 400.7001 through Rule 400.7049. Department policies are found in the State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The law provides that disposition may be made of a contested case by stipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24.278(2).

In the present case, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the Department's action. After commencement of the hearing, the parties testified that they had reached a settlement concerning the disputed action. Consequently, the Department agreed to do the following: reinstate Claimant's FIP benefit application dated 8/2/11 and provide Claimant and her spouse another opportunity to attend Jobs, Education and Training (JET) and/or an opportunity to provide documentation justifying a deferral from JET participation.

As a result of this settlement, Claimant no longer wishes to proceed with the hearing. As such, it is unnecessary for this Administrative Law Judge to render a decision regarding the facts and issues in this case.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING:

- 1. reinstate Claimant's application for FIP benefits dated 8/2/11;
- 2. process the application in accordance with DHS regulations concerning resending Claimant and her spouse to JET and pursuing a deferral from JET participation.

Christian Gardocki
Christian Gardocki
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: October 14, 2011

Date Mailed: October 14, 2011

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

CG / hw

cc:

2011-45565/CG

