STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:			
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	201153161 3019 October 13, 2011 Wayne County DHS (49)	
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Andrea J. Bi	radley		
HEARING DEC	ISION		
This matter is before the undersigned Administration and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request telephone hearing was held on October 13, 201 on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant, Department of Human Services (Department Specialist.	t for a hearing. 1, from Detroit, Mi . Parti	After due notice, a chigan. Participants icipants on behalf of	
<u>ISSUE</u>			
Did the Department properly deny Claimant' for:	s application 🛚 cl	ose Claimant's case	
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐	☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)?☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?		
FINDINGS OF	<u>FACT</u>		
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, finds as material factors.		rial, and substantial	
Claimant ☐ applied for benefits ☒ received it	penefits for:		
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP). ☐ Medical Assistance (MA). ☐		ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).	
2. The Department ☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ close	ed Claimant's case		

due to a reason unknown to the Department at the time of the hearing. 3. On July 31, 2011 and August 31, 2011, the Department sent ⊠ Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. 🖂 closure. 4. On September 7, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the \square denial of the application. \square closure of the case. **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW** Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT). The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS)] program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seg., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015. ☐ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seg., and MCL 400.105. The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seg. The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180. The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98

and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, the Department presented no evidence to support the closure of the Claimant's MA and FAP benefits. The Department admitted that the Claimant is in compliance with child-support and therefore noncompliance with child support could not form the basis for the case closure. Based on the above, the Department failed to establish that it acted in accordance with Department policy when it terminated the Claimant's MA benefits, effective July 31, 2011, and closed the Claimant's FAP benefits, effective August 31, 2011.

ellective August 51, 2011.		
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department		
 □ properly denied Claimant's application □ properly closed Claimant's case □ improperly denied Claimant's application □ improperly closed Claimant's case 		
for:		
DECISION AND ORDER		
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly. did not act properly.		
Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \boxtimes FAP \boxtimes MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is \square AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.		
oxtimes THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:		
 The Department shall begin to initiate reprocessing of the Claimant's FAP and MA benefits based on the original application for benefits. 		
2. The Department shall supplement the Claimant for any lost benefits she was otherwise qualified and eligible to receive but-for the July 31, 2011 and August 31,		

Andrea J. Bradley
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>10/19/11</u>

2011 case closures.

Date Mailed: <u>10/20/11</u>

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

AJB/dj

