# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2011-52767

Issue No.: 5026

Case No.:

Hearing Date: November 17, 2011

County: Macomb (12)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Alice C. Elkin

## **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on November 17, 2011, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant inclu ded Claim ant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Servic es (Department ) included Specialist, and Eligibility Specialist.

# ISSUE

Did the Department properly deny Claimant's request for State Emergency Relief (SER) assistance with shelter emergency?

### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- On August 5, 2011, Claimant applied for SER assistance with shelter emergency.
- 2. On August 12, 2011, the Department sent notice of the application denia I to Claimant.
- 3. On Augus t 22, 2011, the Department received Claimant's hearing request, protesting the SER denial.

# **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The State Emergency Relief (S ER) program is established by 2004 PA 344. The SER program is administered pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and by, 1999 AC, Rule 400.7001 through Rule 400.7049. Department polic ies are found in the State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

Additionally, Claimant requested SER ass istance to avoid ev iction from her home. Housing affordability is a condition of elig ibility for SER benefits for housing relocation services (which includes assistance with payment of rent arr earage). ERM 207; ERM 303. Housing is not affordable for the client if the total housing obligation exceeds 75 percent of the SER group's total net countable income. ERM 207.

In this case, Claimant had a total net countable income of \$567. Seventy-five percent of Claimant's total net countable income was \$425.25. Because Claimant's monthly rental obligation of \$700 exceeded \$425.25, Claimant's housing was unaffordable under ERM 207. Thus, the Department acted in accord ance with Department policy when it denied Claimant's August 5, 2011, app lication for SER ass istance for housing ass istance on the basis that Claimant's housing was not affordable.

At the hearing, Claimant testified that her hearing request with respect to the denial of her application for SER assistance with her outstanding electrical bill had been resolved to her satisfaction.

Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Conclus ions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department

☑ properly denied
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department ☑ did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's decision is ⊠AFFIRMED ⊡REVERSED for the reasons

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1.

Alice C. Elkin
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: December 13, 2011

Date Mailed: December 13, 2011

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision.
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re

consideration/Rehearing Request

P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

### ACE/ctl

A. Elkin