STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: C. Adam Purnell

4. On June 1, 2011, the Department

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on October 13, 2011, from Lansing, Michigan. Claimant personally participated and provided testimony. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included

<u>ISSUES</u>

1.	Due to a failure to comply with the verification requirements, did the Department properly ☐ deny Claimant's application ☒ close Claimant's case ☐ reduce Claimant's benefits for:	
X	Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)? State Disability Assistance (SDA)? Child Development and Care (CDC)?	
2.	Did the Department properly close Claimant's MA (Group 2 Caretakers) Program benefits due to excess assets?	
FINDINGS OF FACT		
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:		
1.	Claimant ☐ applied for ☒ was receiving: ☐FIP ☒FAP and ☒MA ☐SDA ☐CDC.	
2.	Claimant ⊠ was ☐ was not provided with a Verification Checklist (DHS-3503).	
	Claimant ⊠ was ☐ was not provided with a Verification Checklist (DHS-3503). Claimant was required to submit requested verification by May 31, 2011.	

2011-52706/CAP

⊠ cl □ re	denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's FAP case educed Claimant's benefits ailure to submit verification in a timely manner.
☐ de	May 19, 2011, the Department sent notice of the denial of Claimant's application. closure of Claimant's FAP case. eduction of Claimant's benefits.
☐ de ⊠ cl	August 2, 2011, the Department sent notice of the lenial of Claimant's application. closure of Claimant's MA case. eduction of Claimant's benefits.
	September 1, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the denial. Science of FAP and MA. The reduction.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
•	ment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges by Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Respons 42 USC Agency) 3131.	Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal sibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 400.3101-FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective r 1, 1996.
program impleme Regulati	Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) n] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is ented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal tions (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence of administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 201-3015
Security The Dep	Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). Partment (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the gram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
for disat as the F	State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance bled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL et seq., and 1998-2000 AACS R 400.3151-400.3180.

2011-52706/CAP

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1997 AACS R 400.5001-5015.
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly improperly
☐ closed Claimant's MA case.☐ denied Claimant's application.☐ reduced Claimant's benefits.
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department \square properly \bowtie improperly
 ☐ closed Claimant's FAP case. ☐ denied Claimant's application. ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits.
DECISION AND ORDER
The Department is AFFIRMED-IN-PART and REVERSED-IN-PART.
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly when it closed Claimant's MA because she failed the asset test. did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's decision regarding Claimant's MA benefits is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly.
did not act properly when it closed Claimant's FAP for failure to return verifications.
Accordingly, the Department's decision regarding Claimant's FAP benefits is \square AFFIRMED \boxtimes REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
IT IS SO ORDERED.
☐ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

2011-52706/CAP

The Department shall reinstate Claimant's FAP benefits back to date of closure and issue any retroactive FAP benefits that Claimant is otherwise eligible to receive.

C. Adam Purnell

Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

CAll P. II

Date Signed: 10/14/11

Date Mailed: 10/14/11

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- · misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant;
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P.O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CAP/ds

