

**STATE OF MICHIGAN
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

IN THE MATTER OF:

[REDACTED]

Reg. No: 201152539
Issue No: 2009
Case No: [REDACTED]
Hearing Date: December 13, 2011
Oakland County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: William A. Sundquist

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Tuesday, December 13, 2011. Claimant appeared on his own behalf and provided testimony.

ISSUE

Did claimant, on date of application, establish a severe physical impairment that had lasted or was expected to last for a one year continuous duration?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. In November 2010, claimant ended his last job due to a work layoff and he became an [REDACTED] recipient.
2. In May 2011, claimant alleges onset of disability due to multiple sclerosis (MS) with tingling and numbness in his feet radiating to his ribcage (Medical Packet, Page 86).
3. Medical exam on May 6, 2011, states the claimant is well-developed and has a normal gait; that musculoskeletally he has normal strength and tone in the lower and upper extremities; and that he has no muscle weakness (Medical Packet, Page 13).
4. Medical exam on May 12, 2011, states the claimant is well-developed and ambulating without difficulty; that musculoskeletally he has a normal range of motion; that he has normal motor strength and tone; that he has no

weakness in his legs; and that he can stand on his toes, rock back on his heels and walk on his heels (Medical Packet, Page 47).

5. On May 20, 2011, claimant applied for MA-P; he was age 49, with a 12 grade education, and work experience as a semi-skilled restaurant cook for Wendy's fast food and skilled lawn maintenance and iron worker for the construction of buildings.
6. On August 5, 2011, claimant's application was denied per BEM 260 with a hearing request on August 26, 2011.
7. On December 31, 2011, claimant unemployment compensation benefits will expire.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (BAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Facts above are undisputed.

"Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905.

...We follow a set order to determine whether you are disabled. We review any current work activity, the severity of your impairment(s), your residual functional capacity, your past work, and your age, education and work experience. If we can find that you are disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, we do not review your claim further.... 20 CFR 416.920.

When determining disability, the federal regulations require that several considerations be analyzed in sequential order. If disability can be ruled out at any step, analysis of the next step is not required. These steps are:

1. Does the client perform Substantial Gainful Activity (SGA)? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 2. 20 CFR 416.920(b).
2. Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 months or more or result in death? If no, the client is ineligible for MA. If yes, the analysis continues to Step 3. 20 CFR 416.920(c).
3. Does the impairment appear on a special listing of impairments or are the client's symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least equivalent in severity to the set of medical findings specified for the listed impairment? If no, the analysis continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.290(d).
4. Can the client do the former work that he/she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 5. 20 CFR 416.920(e).
5. Does the client have the Residual Functional Capacity (RFC) to perform other work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Sections 200.00-204.00? If yes, the analysis ends and the client is ineligible for MA. If no, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(f).

At Step 1, disability is not denied. The evidence of record establishes that the claimant was not engaged in substantial gainful work on date of application, nor currently.

At Step 2, disability is denied. The medical evidence of record, on date of application, does not establish that claimant's impairments were severe and significantly limited his physical ability to do basic work activities, as defined below, for the required one year continuous duration, as defined below.

Severe/Non-Severe Impairment

...If you do not have any impairment or combination of impairments which significantly limits your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities, we will find that you do not have a severe impairment and are, therefore, not disabled. We will not consider your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(c).

Non-severe impairment(s). An impairment or combination of impairments is not severe if it does not significantly limit your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities. 20 CFR 416.921(a).

Basic work activities. When we talk about basic work activities, we mean the abilities and aptitudes necessary to do most jobs. Examples of these include:

1. Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling;
2. Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
3. Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
4. Use of judgment;
5. Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
6. Dealing with changes in a routine work setting.
20 CFR 416.921(b).

The medical reports of record are mostly examination, diagnostic, and treatment reports. They do not provide medical assessments of the claimant's work limitations/restrictions in order to determine if he has a severe or non-severe physical impairment relative to performing basic work activities, as defined above. Said in another way, these reports do not establish whether the claimant has a slight, mild, moderate impairment (non-severe, as defined above) or severe, as defined above.

Duration of Impairment

You cannot be determined disabled without medically establishing the duration requirement, as defined below.

...[The impairment]...must have lasted or must be expected to last for a continuous period of at least 12 months. We call this the duration requirement. 20 CFR 416.909.

The medical evidence of record, on date of application, does not establish a severe physical impairment that had lasted or was expected to last for a one year continuous duration.

In addition, claimant does receive [REDACTED]. In order to receive [REDACTED] under the federal regulations, a person must be monetarily eligible. They must be totally or partially [REDACTED]. They must have an approvable job separation. Also, they must meet certain legal requirements which include being physically and mentally able to work, being available for and seeking work, and filing a weekly claim for [REDACTED] on a timely basis. This Administrative Law Judge finds that claimant has not established that she has a severe impairment or combination of impairments which have lasted or will last the durational requirement of 12 months or more or have kept her from working for a period of 12 months or more. Claimant did last work in November 2010. Claimant does receive [REDACTED] as of approximately [REDACTED] with expiration due on December 31, 2011.

Therefore, disability has not been established at Step 2, as defined above, by the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that disability was not medically established.

Accordingly, Medicaid denial is **UPHELD**.

/s/
William A. Sundquist
Administrative Law Judge
For Maura D. Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 9, 2012

Date Mailed: April 10, 2012

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

201152539/WAS

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

WAS/tb

cc:

