## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE

DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES				
IN THE MATTER OF:				
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	201152069 3015 October 6, 2011 Macomb DHS (36)		
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Christian Gardocki				
HEARING DECISION				
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on October 6, 2011. from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included				
<u>ISSUE</u>				
Due to excess income, did the Department properly $\square$ deny the Claimant's application $\boxtimes$ close Claimant's case $\square$ reduce Claimant's benefits for:				
Food Assistance Program (FAP)?		sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?		
FINDINGS OF FACT				
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:				
1. Claimant ☐ applied for benefits for: ☐ red	Claimant ☐ applied for benefits for: ☐ received benefits for:			
Family Independence Program (FIP).  Food Assistance Program (FAP).	Adult Medical As State Disability A	ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA).		

Medical Assistance (MA).

Child Development and Care (CDC).

2.	On 8/22/11, the Department Adenied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case reduced Claimant's benefits due to excess income.		
3.	On 8/22/11, the Department sent  Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)  notice of the denial. closure. reduction.		
4.	On 8/26/11, Claimant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting the ⊠ denial of the application. □ closure of the case. □ reduction of benefits.		
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW			
	epartment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the idges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).		
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.		
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence jency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 rough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.		
pro im Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence pency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 0.3001 through Rule 400.3015.		
Se Th	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the Aprogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.		
for as	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 0.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.		
an	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE d XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 90, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996.		

The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, Claimant disputed a DHS decision from 8/22/11 concerning her FAP benefit eligibility for 9/2011. BEM 556 outlines the proper procedures for calculating FAP benefits.

It was not disputed that Claimant received \$790/two weeks in gross employment income as of 8/22/11. Though Claimant states that her income decreased shortly thereafter, the decrease was effective following the end of Claimant's benefit period end date (8/31/11). As of 8/22/11, the date of the DHS redetermination decision, \$790/two weeks was the proper amount to budget for Claimant's income.

The first step in determining FAP benefit eligibility is to calculate the FAP benefit group's gross monthly income so that a gross income test can be performed. The gross income test is only applicable for groups without a senior, disabled or disabled veteran (SDV) member. BEM 556 at 3. It was not disputed that Claimant's FAP group had no SDV members; thus, the gross income test must be performed.

Claimant did not dispute that her gross employment income was \$1698/month. The gross income limit for a one person FAP benefit group is \$1174 (see RFT 250 at 1). Claimant's gross income exceeds the income limits for the gross income test. It is found that DHS properly terminated Claimant's FAP benefits beginning 9/2011.

stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess income, the Department $\boxtimes$ properly $\square$ improperly
<ul> <li>☐ denied Claimant's application</li> <li>☐ reduced Claimant's benefits</li> <li>☐ closed Claimant's case</li> </ul>
for:
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department $\square$ did act properly $\square$ did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's $\square$ AMP $\square$ FIP $\boxtimes$ FAP $\square$ MA $\square$ SDA $\square$ CDC decision is $\boxtimes$ AFFIRMED $\square$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

☐ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

Christian Gardocki
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: October 11, 2011

Date Mailed: October 11, 2011

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
  of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## CG/hw

cc:

