## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:		
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	201151833 2012 November 17, 2011 Wayne County DHS (55)
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Andrea J. B	radley	
HEARING DE	CISION	
This matter is before the undersigned Administrated MCL 400.37 following Claimant's requestelephone hearing was held on November Participants on behalf of Claimant included the on behalf of Department of Human Services Eligibility Specialist.	st for a hearing. er 17, 2011, from e Claimant,	After due notice, a Detroit, Michigan Participants
<u>ISSUE</u>		
Did the Department properly ⊠ deny Claimant's coverage ☐ close Claimant's case for:		
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?		sistance (AMP)? assistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?
FINDINGS OF FACT		
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, finds as material	•	rial, and substantial
Claimant ⊠ applied for benefits ☐ received benefits for:		
<ul> <li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).</li> <li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).</li> <li>☐ Medical Assistance (MA).</li> </ul>	State Disability	ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).
2. The Department authorized MA coverage to date back to April of 2010.		

3. The Claimant has billed the provider for hospital bills incurred in the month of April

2010 and the provider has refused payment of the bills.

<ol> <li>On August 24, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the</li></ol>			
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW			
Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).			
☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.			
☐ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.			
∑ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.			
☐ The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .			
☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.			
☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.			

Additionally, the Department testified that MA coverage for the Claimant has an effective date of April 1, 2010. The Claimant testified, and the Department agreed, that the Claimant has been unable to receive payment on a hospital bill that was incurred on April 7, 2010. The Department agreed that coverage was active at that time and that

the bill should be paid. The Department went on to testify that the insurance provider is refusing to cover bills incurred prior to the point it was selected as the insurance carrier in October of 2010. But the Department agreed that Claimant was approved for retroactive MA, therefore, the Department must ensure that the Claimant receives the required coverage for the period in which she was approved for MA benefits. Based on the foregoing, the Department has failed to establish that it acted in accordance with Department policy.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department			
properly denied Claimant's application properly closed Claimant's case	improperly denied Claimant's coverage improperly closed Claimant's case		
for:			
DECISION AND ORDER			
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly.			
Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.			
☑ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION			
<ol> <li>The Department shall reprocess the Claim that the Claimant has the necessary cover period in which MA benefits were approved accordance with Department policy.</li> </ol>	age through an insurance provider for the		
	Andrea J. Bradley  Administrative Law Judge  for Maura Corrigan, Director		

Date Signed: <u>12/06/11</u>

Date Mailed: 12/06/11

<u>NOTICE</u>: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or

Department of Human Services

reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
  of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## AJB/dj

