STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No: 2011-51737

Issue No: <u>1025, 2006,</u> 3008

Case No: Hearing Date: October 5, 2011

Saginaw County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Suzanne L. Morris

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notic e, a telephone hearing was held on October 5, 2011. The claimant appeared and provided testimony.

ISSUE

Did the department properly s anction the claimant from the Family Independenc e Program (FIP), Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) and the Medical Ass istance (MA) program due to a child support noncooperation?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- The claimant was receiving FIP, FAP and MA benefits when the local DHS office showed an Office of Child Support (OCS) noncooperation on the Bridges computer system.
- The department mailed the claimant a Notice of Case Action (DHS-1605) on August 12, 2011 t hat informed the claimant her FIP would close and she would be sanctioned from the MA and FAP.
- 3. The claimant submitted a hearing request on August 18, 2011.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was establishhed pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193,

8 USC 601, et seq. The Department of Human Serv ices (DHS or department) administers the FIP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3101-3131. The FIP program replaced the Ai d to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is estab lished by Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) (formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program) is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Department policy states:

DEPARTMENT PHILIOSPHY

Families are strengthened when children's needs are met. Parents have a responsibility to meet their children's needs by providing support and/or cooperating with the department including the Office of Child Support (OCS), the Friend of the Court and the prosecuting attorney to establish paternity and/or obtain support from an absent parent. PEM 255, p. 1.

DEPARTMENT POLICY

FIP, CDC Income Eligible, MA and FAP

Clients must comply with all requests for action or information needed to establish pat ernity and/or obtain child support on behalf of children for whom they receive assistance, unless a claim of good cause for not cooperating has been granted or is pending.

Absent parents are required to support their children Support includes **all** the following:

- Child support
- . Medical support
- . Payment for medical care from any third party.

Note: For purposes of this item, a parent who does not live with the child d ue s olely to the parent's active duty in a uniformed service of the U.S. is considered to be living in the child's home.

Failure to cooperat e without good c ause results in disqualification. Disqualific ation includes member removal, denial of program benefits, and/ or case closure, depending on the program.

Exception: A pregnant woman who fails to cooperate may still be eligible for MA.

GOOD CAUSE FOR NOT COOPERATING

FIP, CDC Income Eligible, MA and FAP

Exceptions to the cooperation requirement are allowed for all child support actions **except** failure to return court-ordered support payments received after the payment effective date. Grant good cause **only** if:

- requiring cooperation/suppor t action is against the child's best interests, and
- there is a specific "good cause" reason.

If good cause exists, cooperation is exc used as an eligibility requirement for the child involved. It can still be required for another child in the same family. PEM 255, pp. 1-2.

Good Cause Reasons

FIP, CDC Income Eligible, MA and FAP

There are two types of good cause:

 Cases in which establishing paternity/securing support would harm the child. Do not require cooperation/support action in any of the following circumstances.

- .. The child was conceived due to incest or forcible rape.
- Legal proc eedings for the adoption of the child are pending before a court.
- .. The client is currently receiving counseling from a public or licensed private social agency to decide if the child should be released for adoption, and the counseling has not gone on for more than three months.
- Cases in which there is danger of physical or emotional harm to the child or c lient. Physical or emotional harm may result if the client or child has been subject to or is in danger of:
 - .. Physical acts that result in, or threatened to result in, physical injury.
 - .. Sexual abuse.
 - .. Sexual activity involving a dependent child.
 - .. Being forced as the caretaker relative of a dependent child to engage in nonconse usual sexual acts or activities.
 - .. Threats of, or attempts at, physical or sexu al abuse.
 - .. Mental abuse.
 - .. Neglect or deprivation of medical care. PEM 255, pp. 2-3.

COOPERATION

FIP, CDC Income Eligible, MA and FAP

Cooperation is a condition of eligibility. The following persons in the eligible group are required to cooperate in establishing paternity and obtaining support, unless good cause has been granted or is pending.

Grantee and spouse.

- . Specified relative/person acting as a parent and spouse.
- . Parent of the child for w hom paternity and/or support action is required.

Cooperation is required in all phases of the process to establish paternity and obtain support and includes all of the following:

- . Contacting the SS when requested.
- . Providing all known information about the absent parent.
- Appearing at the office of the prosecuting attorney when requested.
- Taking any actions needed to establish paternity and obtain child support (e.g., testifying at hearings or obtaining blood tests).

SUPPORT DISQUALIFICATION

FIP, CDC Income Eligible, MA and FAP

You will be notified of a client's failure to cooperate by the SS or the child support noncooper ation report. Start the support disqualification procedure upon receipt of this notice.

Do **not** impose the disqualification on if any of the following occur during the negative action period:

- . You are notified by OCS that the client has cooperated.
- . The case closes for another reason.
- The noncooperative person leaves the group.
- . Support/paternity action is no longer a factor in the child's eligibility (e.g., the child leaves the group).
- . For disqualificat ions ba sed on failure to return court-ordered support, the client cooperates with the requirement of returning court-ordered support payments or the support order is certified. PEM 255, p. 9.

Department policy indicates that clients are required to pur sue any potential benefits for which they may be eligible. BEM 270. One of these benefits is child support. Department policy indicates that the head of the household and the parent of children must comply with all requests for action or information needed to establish paternit y and/or obtain child s upport on behalf of childr en for whom they receive assistance, unless a claim of good cause has been granted. BEM 255.

Department policy indicates that there are s ituations in which child support will not be required to be pursued. Good cause c an only be granted when requiring the cooperation/support action is a gainst the child's best interest and there is a specific good cause reason. BEM 255. Good cause reasons exist if establishing paternity would harm the child or there is a danger of physical or emotional harm to the child or client. BEM 255.

Department policy indicates t hat cooperation with child su pport is a condition of eligibility for FAP, FIP and MA. BEM 255. Failure to cooperate without good caus e results in disqualification for the individual from the FAP, FIP and MA program.

In this case, the only evidence the departm ent has presented is a Bridges computer system pri ntout of a Chil d Support Non-Cooperation De tails page. The department representative provided this Administrati ve Law Judge with a telephone number to contact the OCS worker to testify at this hearing. However, the OCS worker did not answer the telephone or return the mess age. This Administrative Law Judge also attempted to contact another OCS worker and was unable to contact this person either. Thus, there was no evidence presented to show the claimant was in actual OCS noncooperation status.

The claimant credibly testifi ed that she has called OCS multiple times and no one will answer. Claimant further testified that she has left se veral messages for OCS workers to provide all information on the putative father . As there is no evidence to cast doubt on the claimant's testimony, the OCS noncooperation status can not be upheld.

It is noted that the department testified the claimant was recently began receiving RSDI, instead of SSI. The department explained that the RSDI income will make the claimant excess income to receive FIP benefits. The claimant indicated that she understood this.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law, decides that the department improperly sanctioned the claimant from the FIP, FAP and MA programs due to a child support noncooperation.

Accordingly, the department 's determination is REVERS ED. The department shall reinstate the claimant's MA and FAP benefits back to the dat e of the case closure and issue any retroactive benefits that she is ent itled to receive. The claiman t will not be eligible for FIP benefits as she is now excess income due to RSDI received.

SO ORDERED.

Suzanne

L. Morris

Administrative Law Judge
for Maura D. Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: October 13, 2011

Date Mailed: October 13, 2011

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

SM/ac

CC:

