### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:

2011-51190 3004

September 29, 2011 Macomb County DHS

## ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. Burke

# **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Sept ember 29, 2011, from Detroit, Michigan. ded Claim ant. Participants on behalf of Participants on behalf of Claimant inclu es (Depar tment) included Department of Human Servic Assistance Payments Worker.

### ISSUE

Did the Departm ent properly  $\boxtimes$  deny Claiman t's application  $\square$  close Claimant's case for:



Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

Medical Assistance (MA)?

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?

State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

Child Development and Care (CDC)?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Cla imant 🛛 applied for benefits 🗌 received benefits for:



Family Independence Program (FIP). Food Assistance Program (FAP).

Medical Assistance (MA).

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).

State Disability Assistance (SDA).

Child Development and Care (CDC).

- On August 17, 2011, the Department
  denied Claimant's application
  closed Claimant's case due to student status.
- On August 17, 2011, the Department sent
  ☐ Claimant
  ☐ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)
  notice of the
  ☐ denial.
  ☐ closure.
- 4. Claimant erroneously marked the application as currently attending college, but in fact Claimant graduated from college in 2006.
- 5. On August 23, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the  $\square$  denial of the application.  $\square$  closure of the case.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Feder al Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Fam ily Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

Additionally, BAM 105 mandates that the Department is to protect client rights.

In the present case, Claimant te stified credibly that she was not in college at the time of the application for FAP benef its, August 15, 2011; rather, Claimant had graduated from college in 2006. Claimant had erroneously checked the box marked currently attending college in t he benefits application. Therefore, the Department was not correct in its denial of Claimant's FAP application due to student status.

Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Co nclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department

properly denied Claimant's application	$\boxtimes$ improperly denied Claimant's application
properly closed Claimant's case	improperly closed Claimant's case

for:	AMP	] FIP 🖂 FA	AP 🗌 MA [	SDA 🗌	CDC.
------	-----	------------	-----------	-------	------

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department idid act properly. idid not act properly. Accordingly, the Department's FAP decision is  $\Box$  AFF IRMED  $\boxtimes$  REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Initiate reinstatement and reprocessing of Claimant's FAP application of August 15, 2011.
- 2. Initiate issuance of supplement s for any missed FAP payment s, August 15, 2011 and ongoing, if Claimant is found to be eligible for FAP.

Jusa C. Bruke

Susan C. Burke Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>10/5/11</u>

Date Mailed: <u>10/5/11</u>

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, math ematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings Re consideration/Rehearing Request

Re consideration/Rehearing Reque P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SCB/sm

