STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



 Reg. No.:
 2011-51144

 Issue No.:
 2006

 Case No.:
 Issue

 Hearing Date:
 November 14, 2011

 County:
 Oakland (03)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on November 14, 2011, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claim ant included Participants on behalf of Depar tment of Human Services (Department) included (ES).

ISSUE

Due to a failure to comply with the ve rification requirements, did the Department properly \boxtimes deny Claimant's application \square close Claimant's case \square reduce Claimant's benefits for:

\sim	

Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

State Disability Assistance (SDA)? Child Development and Care (CDC)?

Medical Assistance (MA)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantia I evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:

1. Cla imant 🛛 applied for 🗌 was receiving: 🔤 FIP 🔤 FAP 🖾 MA 🔤 SDA 🔤 CDC.

2. Cla imant 🛛 was 🗌 was not provided with a Verification Checklist (DHS-3503).

3. Claimant was required to submit requested verification by

- 4. On December 11, 2010, the Department
 - denied Claimant's application
 - closed Claimant's case

reduced Claimant's benefits

for failure to submit verification in a timely manner.

reduction of Claimant's benefits.

6. On February 7, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial. ⊠ closure. ☐ reduction.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

☐ The Family Independence Progr am (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq*. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

☐ The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [for merly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) progr am which provides financial as sistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Depart ment (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administ ers the SDA program pursuant to M CL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.

The Ch	ild Development an	d Care (CDC) program is	establis heo	d by Titles	IVA, IVE
and XX of	the Soc ial Security	/ Act, the Ch	ild Care and	Developm	ent Block	Grant of

1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. T he Department provides servic es to adult s and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, the Department and the claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) were both unable to locate the claimant for a medical appointment.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly improperly

closed Claimant's case.

denied Claimant's application.

reduced Claimant's benefits.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department i did act properly. i did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Depar tment's decision is	AFFIRMED	REVERSED for the
reasons stated on the record.		

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Supply the claimant's AR with a notice of case action.

Michael J. Bennane Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: December 19, 2011

Date Mailed: December 19, 2011

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the receipt date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

MJB/cl

CC:			