

**STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]  
Ionia

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Vicki L. Armstrong

**HEARING DECISION**

In accordance with MCL 400.9, MCL 400.37, and 1999 AC, R 400.903, a hearing was held in this matter on October 6, 2011. Claimant appeared at hearing and provided testimony. The Department of Human Services (the Department) was represented by agency personnel.

**ISSUE**

In dispute was whether the Department properly reduced Claimant's benefits for the Food Assistance Program (FAP) based on excess income.

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

Based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including the testimony of witnesses, the Administrative Law Judge, finds as relevant fact:

1. Claimant received benefits for Food Assistance Program (FAP).
2. Beginning August 16, 2011, the Department reduced Claimant's benefits due to excess income.
3. On August 4, 2011, the Department sent Claimant notice of the reduction.
4. On August 9, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, contesting the Department's reduction of benefits.

**CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The FAP [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] was established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department administers the FAP in accordance with MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1997 AACS, R 400.3001 through R 400.3015. Agency policies pertaining to this program are found in the BAM, BEM, and RFT.

Here, Claimant testified that the department had calculated her monthly income of [REDACTED] based on the income from the two land contracts of [REDACTED] a month she was receiving, in addition to her RSDI of [REDACTED]. The department subtracted the standard deduction of \$ [REDACTED] and a medical deduction of [REDACTED], which left an adjusted gross income of [REDACTED]. BEM 556. An excess shelter deduction of [REDACTED] was also subtracted from Claimant's adjusted gross income of [REDACTED] resulting in Claimant receiving \$ [REDACTED] in net income.

Federal regulations at 7 CFR 273.10 provide standards for income and the amount of household benefits. In accordance with the federal regulations, the department has prepared income and issuance tables which can be found at RFT 260. This issuance table provides that a household size of one with net income of [REDACTED] is entitled to a [REDACTED] FAP allotment. Therefore, the department's FAP eligibility determination was correct based on Claimant's uncontested income.

Therefore, the Department properly reduced Claimant's benefits for FAP.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

Based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge finds that the Department did act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is AFFIRMED.

It is SO ORDERED.

/s/

\_\_\_\_\_  
Vicki L. Armstrong  
Administrative Law Judge  
For Maura Corrigan, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 10/12/11

Date Mailed: 10/12/11

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error , or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant;
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at:

Michigan Administrative Hearings  
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request  
P.O. Box 30639  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

VLA/ds

