STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County: 2011-50970 3016

September 28, 2011 Oakland County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. Burke

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administ rative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on September 28, 2011, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Cla imant included Claimant and Claimant's mother. Participant s on behalf of Depart ment of Human Services (Department) included

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Departm ent properly and deny Claiman t's application close Claimant's case for:

imes

Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

Medical Assistance (MA)?

Ad	ult	Me	dical	As	sist	an	ce (?)?
				-				·	· · ·

State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

Child Development and Care (CDC)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Cla imant applied for benefits received benefits for:



Family Independence Program (FIP). Food Assistance Program (FAP).

Medical Assistance (MA).

- Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).
- State Disability Assistance (SDA).
- Child Development and Care (CDC).

- 2. On June 1, 2011, the Department denied Claimant's application \boxtimes closed Claimant's case due to student status.
- 3. Claimant was taking six college credits as of June 1, 2011
- 3. On May 25, 2011, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. \boxtimes closure.
- 4. On May 25, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the \Box denial of the application. \Box closure of the case.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Feder al Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Fam ily Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

In the present case, the Department clos ed Claimant's FAP case on June 1, 2011 due to student status. BEM 245 defines a person on student status one who is enrolled halftime or more in a college. In the present case, Claimant was enrolled in college for six credit hours beginning in June of 2011. (Claimant's exhibit 2.) Claimant further testified that half time was c onsidered twelve cred it hours. Therefore, Claimant was not in student status at the time of the closure of his case.

Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Co nclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department

ĺ			1

properly denied Claimant's application properly closed Claimant's case

improperly denied Claimant's application improperly closed Claimant's case

for: \square AMP \square FIP \square FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly. \boxtimes did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \boxtimes FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is \square AFFIRMED \boxtimes REVERSED for the reasons stated within this record.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Initiate reinstatement of Claimant's FAP case, effective J une 1, 2011, if Claimant is otherwise eligible for FAP.
- 2. Initiate issuance of FAP supplements, June 1, 2011 and ongoing, if Claimant is otherwise eligible for FAP.

In C. Bruke

Susan C. Burke Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>10/5/11</u>

Date Mailed: <u>10/5/11</u>

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings Re consideration/Rehearing Request

Re consideration/Rehearing Reque P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SCB/sm

