STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

	TIL	A A	TTC	\mathbf{n}	~ E.
IN	ΙН	MΑ	TTE	ĸ	JF:

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2011-50909 1038 October 6, 2011 Wayne County DHS
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. B	urke	
HEARING DE	CISION	
This matter is before the undersigned Administration and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's requestelephone hearing was held on October 6, 201 behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Particip Services (Department) included, FI Case Worker.	est for a hearing. 1, from Detroit, Michi	After due notice, a gan. Participants on epartment of Hum <u>an</u>
ISSUE		
Did the Department properly \boxtimes deny Claimar for:	nt's application 🗌 cl	ose Claimant's case
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)?☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	☐ Adult Medical As ☑ State Disability A ☐ Child Developme	
FINDINGS O	F FACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, finds as material	•	rial, and substantial
Claimant ⊠ applied for benefits ☐ received	d benefits for:	
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).☐ Medical Assistance (MA).	State Disability A	ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).

 On August 5, 2011, the Department
3. On August 5, 2011, the Department sent ⊠ Claimant ☐ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the ⊠ denial. ☐ closure.
 On August 24, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.
The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.
In the present case, the Department denied Claimant's FIP application due to non-attendance of Work First orientation. However, at the hearing, the Department presented no documentation supporting its denial, such as a Notice of Appointment to attend Work First orientation. I am therefore not convinced that Claimant was even sent such a notice. In addition, the Department denied Claimant's SDA application due to disability not being established from medical records returned. Again, no supporting documentation was presented by the Department.
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department
 □ properly denied Claimant's application □ properly closed Claimant's case □ improperly closed Claimant's case
for: AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \boxtimes FIP \square FAP \square MA \boxtimes SDA \square CDC decision is \square AFFIRMED \boxtimes REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
☐ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Initiate reinstatement and reprocessing of Claimant's FIP and SDA application of June 14, 2011.
- 2. Initiate issuance of FIP supplements to Claimant, June 14, 2011 and ongoing, if Claimant is found to be eligible for FIP.

Susan C. Burke Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Jusa C. Bruke

Date Signed: 10/14/11

Date Mailed: 10/14/11

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SCB/sm

