STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

ı	N	П	П			٨	A I	۷.	г	ΓΕ	Р)			•
ı	IV			п	_	- 11	117	•					_	•	_

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	201150677 3008 September 28, 2011 Oakland County DHS (04)
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris		
HEARING DECIS	SION	
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a telephone hearing was held on September 28, 201 Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant Department of Human Services (Department) included	hearing. After du 1, from Detroit, M imant only. Partic	ue notice, a lichigan.
<u>ISSUE</u>		
Due to a failure to comply with the verification properly ⊠ deny Claimant's application ☐ close 0 benefits for:		
		ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)?
FINDINGS OF FA	<u>ACT</u>	
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the evidence on the whole record, including testimony	•	-
Claimant ⊠ applied for □ was receiving: □Fl	P ⊠FAP □MA [□SDA □CDC.
2. Claimant ⊠ was ☐ was not provided with a Ve	erification Checklis	st (DHS-3503).
3. Claimant was required to submit requested veri	fication by Augus	t 15, 2011.

 4. On August 17, 2011, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ closed Claimant's case ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits for failure to submit verification in a timely manner. 	
 5. On August 17, 2011, the Department sent notice of the ☐ denial of Claimant's application. ☐ closure of Claimant's case. ☐ reduction of Claimant's benefits. 	
 On August 20, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the	
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	
Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridgeligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).	ges
☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Pers Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) progeffective October 1, 1996.	193 ence 3101
∑ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, an implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, I 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.	d is dera ence
☐ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the So Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (C The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.	FR)
☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistation disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly kn as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to \$\mathbb{I}\$ 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.	owr
☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grar 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 19	nt o

The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, based upon the testimony of the Claimant, that she advised the Department that her day care provider was on vacation during the verification period and could not be reached by telephone, the Claimant should have been given an extension within which to complete the requested verification information. Also the Claimant did not refuse to cooperate. BEM 115.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department ☐ properly ☐ Improperly
 ☐ closed Claimant's case. ☐ denied Claimant's application. ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits.
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's decision is \square AFFIRMED $oxtimes$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
oxtimes THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. The Department shall reregister the Claimant's online FAP application of 7/5/11.
- 2. The Department shall initiate determination of the Claimant for FAP eligibility and seek any necessary information to determine the Claimant's eligibility for FAP benefits in accordance with Department policy.
- 3. If the Department determines that the Claimant is eligible for FAP benefits, the Department shall issue a supplement to the Claimant for FAP benefits she was otherwise entitled to receive in accordance with Department policy.

Lynn M. Ferris
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: October 4, 2011

Date Mailed: October 4, 2011

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the receipt date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

LMF/hw

