STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

INI TU	TTFR	α_{E}
113 1 1	III I E R	UE

<u>ISSUE</u>		Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2011-50661 3014 September 28, 2011 Macomb (50-36)		
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on September 28, 2011, from Detroit, Michigan Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant and Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Levent	er			
and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on September 28, 2011, from Detroit, Michigan Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant and Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services. Also present was Did the Department properly deny Claimant's application close Claimant's case for: Family Independence Program (FIP)? Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? State Disability Assistance (SDA)? Medical Assistance (MA)? Child Development and Care (CDC)? FINDINGS OF FACT The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:	HEARING DE	ECISION			
Did the Department properly deny Claimant's application close Claimant's case for: Family Independence Program (FIP)?	and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's reque telephone hearing was held on Septemb Participants on behalf of Claimant included C Participants on be	est for a hearing. er 28, 2011, from Claimant and	After due notice, a Detroit, Michigan.		
Family Independence Program (FIP)? Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)? State Disability Assistance (SDA)? Medical Assistance (MA)? Child Development and Care (CDC)? FINDINGS OF FACT The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantia evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:	ISSUI	E			
Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)? FINDINGS OF FACT The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantia evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:	Did the Department properly \square deny Claimant's application \boxtimes close Claimant's case for:				
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantia evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:	Food Assistance Program (FAP)?	State Disability A	ssistance (SDÁ)?		
evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:	FINDINGS O	F FACT			
Claimant ☐ applied for benefits ☐ received benefits for:	5 ,		rial, and substantial		
	 Claimant ☐ applied for benefits ☒ receive 	d benefits for:			
 ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP). ☐ Medical Assistance (MA). ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC). 	Food Assistance Program (FAP).	State Disability A	Assistance (SDÁ).		

2.	On July 1, 2011, the Department denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case due to the fact that she lived with Nosh Nikaj, the father of her children, and one of their children, Lindita Nikaj.
3.	On June 3, 2011, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. Closure.
4.	On June 9, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	partment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal sponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.
pro imp Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is olemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal gulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 0.3001 through Rule 400.3015.
Se Th Ag	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 0.105.
□ adı	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.
for Se pro	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human rvices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through le 400.3180.

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.				
Additionally, BEM Section 212 requires the Department to provide FAP to the entire group due to their living together.				
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department				
properly denied Claimant's application improperly denied Claimant's application properly closed Claimant's case improperly closed Claimant's case				
for:				
DECISION AND ORDER				
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \int \text{did act properly.} \int \text{did not act properly.}				
Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.				
☐ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:				
Jan loventi				
Jan Leventer Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services Date Signed: September 29, 2011				
Date Mailed: September 29, 2011				
NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or				

reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

JL/pf

