STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No. Issue No. Case No. Hearing Date: 201150392 1038 October 3, 2011 Macomb County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan Burke

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a t elephone hearing was held on October 3, 2011 from Detr oit, Michigan. Claimant appeared and testified. Participant s on behalf of the Departm ent of Human Services (Department) were and Michigan Works.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Was the Department correct in its decision to place a negative s anction on Claimant's Family Independence (FIP) case and close Cl aimant's FIP c ase due to failure t o participate in work-related activities?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was an ongoing recipient of FIP.
- 2. Claimant was assigned to the Jobs Education and Training (JET) program.
- 3. The Department issued Claimant a Noti ce of Noncom pliance on July 26, 2011, stating that Claimant did not participate in required activity on July 21, 2011.
- 4. The Department held a triage with Claimant and a Michigan Works participant on August 18, 2011 and the Department found no good cause.

201150392/SCB

- 5. The Department placed a one y ear sanction on Claimant's FIP case and closed Claimant's FIP case effective September 1, 2011.
- 6. Claimant requested a hearing on August 16, 2011, protesting the negative action.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

FIP was e stablished pursuant to the Pers onal Resp onsibility a nd Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department administers the FIP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3101-3131. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Program Reference Manual.

The Depar tment requires clients to participate in employment and s elf-sufficiencyrelated activities and to accept employment when offered. BEM 230A; BEM 233A. All Work Eligible Indiv iduals (WEIs) are required to participate in the development of a Family Self-Sufficiency Pla n (F SSP) u nless good c ause e xists. BEM 228. As а condition of eligibility, all WEIs must enga ge in employment and/ or self-sufficiencyrelated activities. BEM 233A. The WEI is consid ered non-compliant for failing o r refusing to appear and participate with the JET Program or othe r employment service provider. BEM 233A. Good cause is a valid reason for noncompliance with employment and/or s elf-sufficiency-related activities that are bas ed on factors that are beyond the control of the noncompliant per son. BEM 233A. Failure to comply without good cause results in FIP closure. BEM 2 33A. The first and second occ urrences of non-compliance result in a th ree-month FIP closure. BE M 233A. The third occurrence results in a twelve-month sanction. The goal of The FIP penalty policy is to bring the client into compliance. BEM 233A.

JET participants will not be terminated from a JET program without first scheduling a triage meeting with the client to jointly discuss noncompliance and good cause. BEM 233A. In processing a FIP closure, the Department is required to send the client a Notice of Noncompliance (DHS-2444) <u>which must include the date(s) of the</u> <u>noncompliance</u>, the reason the client was determined to be noncomplianing int, and the penalty duration. (Emphasis added.) BEM 233A.

In the present case, the Department issued a Notice of Noncomp liance, stating that Claimant did not participate in r equired ac tivities on July 21, 2011. However, the testimony and exhibit s from the Department spoke to Cla imant's non-participation in required activity on July 7, 2011, July 8, 20 11 July 11, 2011 and July 12, 2011. The Department therefore did not follow its own policy by including the alleged dates of the noncompliance in the DHS-2444. In addition, the Department did not prove that Claimant was noncompliant on July 21, 2011, the alleged date of noncompliance in the DHS-2444. Based on the above discussion I find that the Department was not correct in its decision to impose a sanction on Claimant's FIP case and close Claimant's FIP case.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law decides that the Department was not corre ct in its decision to impose a negative sanction on Claimant 's FIP c ase and to c lose Claimant's FIP case. It is therefore ORDERED that the Department's decision is REVERSED.

It is further ORDERED that the Department shall:

- 1.) Remove the one-year sanction imposed on Claimant's FIP case, which sanc tion was effective September 1, 2011.
- 2.) Initiate reinstatement of Claimant's FIP case, effective September 1, 2011, if Claimant is otherwise eligible for FIP.
- 3.) Initiate iss uance of s upplements, effective September 1, 2011, and ongoing, if Claimant is otherwise eligible for FIP.

Jusa C. Bute

Susan Burke Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 10/6/11

Date Mailed: <u>10/6/11</u>

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

201150392/SCB

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

SCB/sm

