STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

Rea No:

201149721

IN THE MATTER OF:

	Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2006,4003 September 28, 2011 Muskegon County DHS	
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Christopher S.	Saunders		
HEARING DECIS	SION		
This matter is before the undersigned Administration and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request telephone hearing was held on September 2 Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claim behalf of Department of Human Services (Department)	for a hearing. 28, 2011, from hant and	After due notice, a	
ISSUE			
Due to a failure to comply with the verification requirements, did the Department properly ⊠ deny Claimant's application ☐ close Claimant's case ☐ reduce Claimant's benefits for:			
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	State Disability As Child Developmen	ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)?	
FINDINGS OF F	<u>ACT</u>		
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the evidence on the whole record, including testimony	•	-	

3. Claimant was required to submit requested verification by August 8, 2011.

1. Claimant
☐ applied for ☐ was receiving: ☐FIP ☐FAP ☐MA ☐SDA ☐CDC.

2. Claimant was was not provided with a Verification Checklist (DHS-3503).

	August 13, 2011, the Department denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case reduced Claimant's benefits failure to submit verification in a timely manner.
	August 13, 2011, the Department sent notice of the denial of Claimant's application. closure of Claimant's case. reduction of Claimant's benefits.
	August 17, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the denial. Closure. reduction.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	tment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges lity Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Respo 42 US Agenc throug	e Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal ensibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, C 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence by) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 ph Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program we October 1, 1996.
progra implen Regula Agenc	the Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) arm] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is mented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal ations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence by) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 and Rule 400.3015.
Securion The De	e Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social ity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). repartment (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and MCL 400.105.
for disa	e State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance cabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known a Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL D, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly improperly
☐ closed Claimant's case.☐ denied Claimant's application.☐ reduced Claimant's benefits.
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \square did act properly. \square did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's decision is \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
<u>/s/</u> Christopher S. Saunders Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services
Date Signed: September 30, 2011
Date Mailed: October 3, 2011
NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the receipt date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CSS/cr

