STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

INI	ти	_ ^ ^	TTE	R OF:
114				K UF

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	201149212 3014 September 21, 2011 Oakland DHS (03)			
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Christian G	ardocki				
HEARING DE	CISION				
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a elephone hearing was held on September 21, 2011, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant and participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included Manager.					
<u>ISSUE</u>					
Did the Department properly 🔀 deny Claimar or:	nt's application 🗌 c	lose Claimant's case			
Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)?	State Disability A	ssistance (AMP)? Assistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?			
FINDINGS OF FACT					
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:					
 Claimant					
 ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☑ Food Assistance Program (FAP). ☐ Medical Assistance (MA). 	State Disability	ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).			

2.	On 6/14/11, the Department ightharpoonup denied Claimant's application ightharpoonup closed Claimant's case due to being a member of her father's FAP benefit group.
3.	On 6/14/11, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. Closure.
4.	On 6/23/11, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ⊠ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	epartment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal sponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.
pro im Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 0.3001 through Rule 400.3015.
Se Th Ag	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 0.105.
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.
for Se pro	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human rvices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through ile 400.3180.

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.				
Additionally, the relationship(s) of the people who live together affects whether they must be included or excluded from the group. BEM 212 at 1. Parents and their children under 22 years of age who live together must be in the same group regardless of whether the child has his/her own spouse or child who lives with the group. Id.				
In the present case, Claimant was an ongoing FAP benefit recipient as part of her father's FAP benefit case. As of 6/2011, Claimant was a 20 year old that lived with her father. DHS removed Claimant from her father's case effective 7/2011 and for some inexplicable reason recommended that Claimant apply for FAP benefits. Claimant applied for FAP benefits on 6/13/11.				
Concerning Claimant's FAP benefit eligibility for 6/2011, she is not entitled to the benefits due to the benefits already being issued to her father for the benefit month. Concerning 7/2011 FAP benefit eligibility, Claimant is not entitled to her own benefits because she must be part of her father's case as a person under twenty two years who lives with her parents. Thus, DHS properly denied Claimant's application.				
This decision does not affect whether DHS properly removed Claimant from her father's FAP benefits effective 7/2011, though the evidence tended to show that DHS did. However, it was stated that Claimant's father requested a hearing for this issue. The hearing for Claimant's father will determine the correctness of removing Claimant from her father's benefit group based on her college student status.				
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department				
 □ properly denied Claimant's application □ properly closed Claimant's case □ improperly denied Claimant's application □ improperly closed Claimant's case □ improperly closed Claimant's ca				
for:				
DECISION AND ORDER				

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

Christian Gardocki Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

(housting Dordock

Date Signed: September 23, 2011

Date Mailed: September 23, 2011

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision.
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

LMF/hw

cc: