STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2011-48912 Issue No.: 1012; 3000

Case No.:

Hearing Date: September 22, 2011
County: Wayne County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. Burke

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Sept ember 22, 2011, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant inclu ded Claim ant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included Case Manager and		
ISSUE		
Did the Departm ent properly $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $		
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)?☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)? ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?	
FINDINGS OF FACT		
The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he hevidence on the whole record, finds as material	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1. Cla imant ⊠ applied for benefits ☐ received benefits for:		
 ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP). ☐ Medical Assistance (MA). 	Adult Medical Assistance (AMP). State Disability Assistance (SDA).	

2.	The Department issued to Claimant a Notice of Appointment to attend JET orientation on June 20, 2011.
3.	Claimant attended the JET orientation on June 20, 2011.
4.	At the time of the JET orientation, Claimant was enrolled in training program.
5.	Claimant's JET worker told Claimant to quit the training program and attend JET.
6.	Claimant requested further direction from the Departm ent on June 20, 2011 but did get a response from the Department.
7.	On July 2, 2011, the Department ⊠ denied Claimant's application □ closed Claimant's case due to non-participation in work-related activities.
8.	On July 2, 2011, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. Closure.
9.	On July 14, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ⊠ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq*. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

Additionally,

Federal and state laws require each work eligible individual (WEI) in the FIP program to participate in Jobs, Education and Training (JET) Program or other employment-related activity unless temporarily deferred or engaged in activities that meet participation requirements. These clients must participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency related activities to increase their employability and obtain employment. BEM 230A, p. 1.

appointment as assigned, but was told by the JET worker that she must quit her enrollment in the JET. Claimant then sought assistance from the Department with regard to her next step. However, the Department did not address Claimant's request for assistance.	
The directive from the JET worker to quit the medical billing and coding training program would seem to defeat the mandate of BEM 230A which directs that clients must participate in JET or other employment-related activities. (Emphasis added.) Correspondence from the Senior Career Consultant from dated June 21, 2011 (Claimant's Exhibit 1,) states in part, is currently enrolled in our training program as a full time studentIf Cheryl were to obtain a job opportunity, will be able to change her schedule to accommodate both her employment and educational goals!" It is clear from the above-quoted correspondence that Claimant was participating in employment-related activities as required by policy.	
Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Co nclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department	
☐ properly denied Claimant's application ☐ properly closed Claimant's case ☐ improperly closed Claimant's case	
for: AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC.	
It is noted that Claimant also requested a hearing regarding the Food Assistanc e Program (FAP), but at the hearing the Claimant stated she was satisfied with the actions of the Department and was no longer requesting a hearing on FAP.	
DECISION AND ORDER	
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly.	
Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \boxtimes FIP \square FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is \square AFFIRMED \boxtimes REVERSED for the reasons stated within this record.	
☑ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:	

- 1. Re-register Claimant's FIP application of June, 2011.
- 2. Initiate reinstatement and reprocessing of Claimant's FIP application of June, 2011.
- 3. Issue FIP supplemental payments to Claimant if she is found to be eligible for FIP.

It is further ORDERED that Claimant's request for hearing regarding FAP is DISMISSED pursuant to BAM 600, as Claimant stated she was no longer aggrieved by the Department's action with regard to FAP.

Susan C. Burke

Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 9/28/11

Date Mailed: <u>9/28/11</u>

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision.
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

2011-48912/SCB

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SCB/sm

