STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



 Reg. No.:
 2011-47839

 Issue No.:
 3020

 Case No.:
 Issue State

 Hearing Date:
 November 30, 2011

 County:
 Wayne (57)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Colleen M. Mamelka

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a hearing was held in Detroit, Michigan on Wednes day, Nove mber 30, 2011. The Claimant appeared and testified. Was the sign language interpreter. Participating on behalf of Department of Hu man Services ("Department") was

ISSUE

Whether the Department proper ly determined that the Claim ant received an overissuance of food assistance ("FAP") benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claimant is a FAP recipient.
- 2. Prior to the February 2011 redetermination, the Department erroneously input the Claimant's shelter obligation as \$6,500.00.
- 3. Prior to the February 2011 redet ermination, the Claimant received \$200.00/month in FAP benefits.
- 4. Upon redetermination, the Department discovered the error and determined the monthly FAP amount should be (and should have been) \$126.00.

- 5. As a result, the Department issued a Notice of Over-Issuance to the Claimant on July 12, 2011.
- 6. On July 22, 2011, the Department received the Claimant's timely written request for hearing.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Food Assistanc e Program, formerly k nown as the Food Stamp program, is established by the Food Stam p Act of 1977, as amended, and is implem ented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Feder al Regulations. The Department, formerly known as the Fami ly Independence Agency, administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and the Mich Admin Co de, Rules 400.3001 through 400.3015. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual ("BAM"), the Bridges Eligibility Manual ("BEM"), and the Bridges Reference Tables ("RFT").

An over-issuance oc curs when a client group receives more benefits than they are entitled to receive. BAM 700. A claim is the resulting debt created by the over-issuance of benefits. BAM 700. Rec oupment is an action to i dentify and recover a benefit OI. BAM 700. The Department must take r easonable steps to promptly correct any overpayment of public assistance benefits, whether d ue to department or client error. BAMs 700, 705, 715, and 725. An agency error OI is caused by incorrect actions by Department, DIT staff, or department processes. BAM 705.

In this case, the Department erroneously i nput the Claimant's r ental obligation a s \$6,500.00. In February, duri ng redetermination, the Department discovered its error and recalculated the Claimant's monthly FAP benefits as \$126.00, as opposed to the \$200.00 that the Claimant prev iously received. Additionally, because the incorrect shelter obligation was used, the Department determined that the Claimant r eceived a FAP over-issuance and began to recoup \$12.00/month result ing in the Claimant's FAP benefits being reduced to \$114.00/month.

During the hearing, it was discovered that the Claimant had listed that his son lived with him during the relevant period. The son was not considered in determining the Claimant's FAP eligibility. Furthermore, there was conflicting information regarding the amount the Claimant paid for his shelter during the period at issue. In light of the foregoing, the Claimant's FAP budget for the period of the alleged over-issuance needs to be recalculated.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the re cord, finds that the Department failed to establish an over-issuance of FAP benefits.

Accordingly, the Department's FAP over-i ssuance c alculation is REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. The Department shall initiate rec alculation of the Claimant's FAP budge t for the over-issuanc e period, specif ically addressing whether the son should have been included in the budget and include the correct monthly shelter obligation.
- 2. The Department shall notify t he Claimant of the determination in accordance with Department policy.
- 3. The Department shall supplement for lost benefit s (if any) that the Claimant was entitle d to receive if otherwise eligible and qualified in accordance with Department policy.

Collein M. Mamilka

Colleen M. Mamelka Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: December 2, 2011

Date Mailed: December 2, 2011

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,

- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CMM/cl

