STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

	TIL	A A	TTC	\mathbf{n}	~ E.
IN	ΙН	MΑ	TTE	ĸ	JF:

	Reg. No.: Issue Nos.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2011-47782 1025, 2006, 3008 September 21, 2011 Wayne (82-76)
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Levente	er	
HEARING DE	CISION	
This matter is before the undersigned Administ and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's requetelephone hearing was held on September Participants on behalf of Claimant included Department of Human Services (Department).	est for a hearing. er 21, 2011, from d Claimant. P <u>artic</u>	After due notice, a Detroit, Michigan.
ISSUE	Ī	
Did the Department properly ☐ deny Claimar for:	nt's application 🛭 c	lose Claimant's case
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)?☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?		sistance (AMP)? Assistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?
FINDINGS O	F FACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, finds as material	•	rial, and substantial
Claimant ☐ applied for benefits ☒ received	d benefits for:	
 ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP). ☐ Medical Assistance (MA). 	State Disability	ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).

 On July 13, 2011, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application					
 On an unknown date, the Department sent ☐ Claimant ☐ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the ☐ denial. ☐ closure. 					
 On July 20, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case. 					
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW					
Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).					
∑ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Persona Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193 42 USC 601, <i>et seq</i> . The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> ., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.310′ through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.					
Microscopic National Medical Stamp (Samp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.					
∑ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.					
☐ The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.					
☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.					

The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.					
Additionally, at the Administrative Hearing, the Department presented no evidence that Claimant did not cooperate with the Office of Child Support. At the Hearing, the Claimant gave credible and unrebutted testimony that she fully cooperated with the Office of Child Support.					
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department					
 □ properly denied Claimant's application □ properly closed Claimant's case □ improperly denied Claimant's application □ improperly closed Claimant's case 					
for: ☐ AMP ☒ FIP ☒ FAP ☒ MA ☐ SDA ☐ CDC.					
DECISION AND ORDER					
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly. did not act properly.					
Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \boxtimes FIP \boxtimes FAP \boxtimes MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is \square AFFIRMED \boxtimes REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.					
☑ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:					
 Initiate procedures to delete Claimant's status as noncompliant with the Office of Child Support; Initiate procedures to correct Claimant's file to reflect that she was fully cooperative with the Office of Child Support; 					

3. Initiate procedures to provide written verification to Claimant that the July 13, 2011, noncooperation date has been deleted and that her file reflects that she is fully cooperative with the Child Support Office as of that date;

- 4. Initiate procedures to review Claimant's benefits as of July 13, 2011, to confirm that her benefits have not been closed;
- 5. Initiate procedures to provide written notification to Claimant that she is receiving the benefit amounts to which she is legally entitled.

Jan Leventer
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: September 27, 2011

Date Mailed: September 27, 2011

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

JL/pf

