

STATE OF MICHIGAN
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No: 20114712
Issue No: 2009; 4031
Case No: [REDACTED]
Hearing Date March 15, 2011
Wayne County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: William A. Sundquist

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on March 15, 2011. The claimant appeared and testified.

ISSUE

Was disability medically established?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds a material fact:

- (1) Claimant applied for SDA and MA on August 2, 2010, and August 19, 2010, respectively, based on inability to do any work because of three herniated disc, COPD, emphysema, arthritis in hands and numbness in legs/feet (Medical Packet, Page 3), and was denied on September 3, 2010, per BEM 260/261.
- (2) Claimant is age 48 and has a GED education.
- (3) Claimant is currently unemployed.
- (4) Claimant's past employment is unskilled janitorial work and semi-skilled home care watching and caring for a person 52 years of age; she last worked in 2003 after lay off from work.

- (5) Medical report of exam done on [REDACTED], states that claimant's respiratory system was unremarkable; that her musculoskeletal was unremarkable; that she was without distress or shortness of breath; and that she was alcoholic (Medical Packet, Pages 34-35).
- (6) Medical report of exam done on [REDACTED], states the claimant was diagnosed as alcohol intoxication with no history of alcoholism; and that she was instructed not to smoke or drink alcohol (Medical Packet, Page 32).
- (7) Medical report of exam done on [REDACTED], states the claimant had negative respiratory review of systems; that she had a normal respiratory rate; that there was no respiratory distress; that she had a normal range of motion of her extremities; (Medical Packet, Page 12).
- (8) SHRT report dated November 19, 2010, states the claimant's impairments do not meet/equal a social security listing (Medical Packet, Page 174).

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

The facts above are undisputed:

"Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905.

DISABILITY

A person is disabled for SDA purposes if he:

- . receives other specified disability-related benefits or services, or
- . resides in a qualified Special Living Arrangement facility, or
- . is certified as unable to work due to mental or physical disability for at least 90 days from the onset of the disability.
- . is diagnosed as having Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS).

If the client's circumstances change so that the basis of his/her disability is no longer valid, determine if he/she meets any of the other disability criteria. Do NOT simply initiate case closure. PEM, Item 261, p. 1.

When determining disability, the federal regulations require that several considerations be analyzed in sequential order. If disability can be ruled out at any step, analysis of the next step is not required. These steps are:

1. Does the client perform Substantial Gainful Activity (SGA)? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 2. 20 CFR 416.920(b).
2. Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 90 days for SDA or more or result in death? If no, the client is ineligible for MA. If yes, the analysis continues to Step 3. 20 CFR 416.920(c).
3. Does the impairment appear on a special listing of impairments or are the client's symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least equivalent in severity to the set of medical findings specified for the listed impairment? If no, the analysis continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.290(d).
4. Can the client do the former work that he/she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 5. 20 CFR 416.920(e).
5. Does the client have the Residual Functional Capacity (RFC) to perform other work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Sections 200.00-204.00? If yes, the analysis ends and the client is ineligible for MA. If no, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(f).

Step 1: Current Employment

The evidence above establishes the claimant's current unemployment. Therefore, disability is not denied at this step.

Step 2: Severe Impairment/Duration

The medical evidence above does not establish a severe physical impairment meeting the required duration, as defined below, based on the de minimus standard. To the contrary, the medical evidence establishes a non-severe impairment, as defined below.

...If you do not have any impairment or combination of impairments which significantly limits your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities, we will find that you do not have a severe impairment and are, therefore, not disabled. We will not consider your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(c).

...[The impairment]...must have lasted or must be expected to last for a continuous period of at least 12 months. We call this the duration requirement. 20 CFR 416.909.

Non-severe impairment(s). An impairment or combination of impairments is not severe if it does not significantly limit your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities. 20 CFR 416.921(a).

Basic work activities. When we talk about basic work activities, we mean the abilities and aptitudes necessary to do most jobs. Examples of these include --

- (1) Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling;
- (2) Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- (3) Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
- (4) Use of judgment;
- (5) Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- (6) Dealing with changes in a routine work setting.
20 CFR 416.921(b).

Therefore, disability is denied at Step 2.

Step 3: Social Security Listing

The medical evidence above does not establish the claimant's non-severe physical impairment meets/equals a social security listing.

Step 4: Ability to Do Past Work

The medical evidence above does not establish the claimant's inability to do her past work, despite her non-severe physical impairment, especially her past janitorial work.

Step 5: Residual Functional Capacity (RFC) for Any Other Work in the National Economy

The medical evidence of record does not establish the claimant is without a RFC for sedentary type work, as defined below, despite her severe physical impairment.

...Your residual functional capacity is what you can still do despite limitations. If you have more than one impairment, we will consider all of your impairment(s) of which we are aware. We will consider your ability to meet certain demands of jobs, such as physical demands, mental demands, sensory requirements, and other functions, as described in paragraphs (b), (c) and (d) of this section. Residual functional capacity is an assessment based on all of the relevant evidence.... 20 CFR 416.945(a).

...To determine the physical exertion requirements of work in the national economy, we classify jobs as sedentary, light, medium, heavy, and very heavy. These terms have the same meaning as they have in the Dictionary of Occupational Titles, published by the Department of Labor.... 20 CFR 416.967.

Sedentary work. Sedentary work involves lifting no more than 10 pounds at a time and occasionally lifting or carrying articles like docket files, ledgers, and small tools. Although a sedentary job is defined as one which involves sitting, a certain amount of walking and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties. Jobs are sedentary if walking and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met. 20 CFR 416.967(a).

The claimant states that she is unable to work because of the respiratory problems, COPD, and arthritis. To the contrary, the medical reports state that the claimants

respiratory is normal and there is no evidence addressing the claimants arthritis condition. Also, there is no statement by an examining physician that the claimant is unable to work.

At the sedentary work level, considering the claimants vocation profile (younger age 48, GED, and unskilled/semiskilled work experience) she is not considered disabled under Vocational Rule 201.21. Therefore, disability is denied at this Step.

Because the evidence of record does not establish that the claimant is unable to work for a period exceeding 90 days, the claimant does not meet the disability criteria for SDA either.

Therefore, disability, as defined above, has not been established by the necessary competent, material, and substantial medical evidence on the whole record.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law decides that disability was not established.

Accordingly, SDA/MA denial is UPHELD.

/s/ William Sundquist
Administrative Law Judge
For Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: May 18, 2011

Date Mailed: May 19, 2011

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

WAS/ar

20114712/WAS

cc:

