STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2011-47058

Issue No.: 2009

Case No.:

Hearing Date: October 26, 2011 County: Oakland (02)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Oc tober 26, 2011, from Detroi t, Michigan. Participants on behalf of claimant included the claimant and her Authorized Representative (AR)

Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department properly close the claimant's MA?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The claimant completed a redeterminat ion form on January 3, 2011, but did not claim disability.
- 2. On the redetermination form the clai mant wrote the num ber of and the words "very ill."
- 3. On July 31, 2011, the department clos ed the claimant's MA because the only minor in the claimant's FIP group turned 18 and graduated from High School.
- 4. On July 19, 2011, the claimant filed for a hearing.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Additionally, during the hearing the redetermination form was placed into the record. This form includes the above-listed hospital phone number and the note that the

claimant or someone in the claimant's group was "very ill." The form in question doe s not list anyone claiming a disability.

The claimant's AR argues that under *Crawley, Unite d States District Court, Eastern District of Michigan, Case No. 08-CV-14040*, the Department is obligated to review information in the recipient's current Medicaid eligibility file, including... "all information available electronically from SSA regarding any SSI or Social Security Disability claim or benefit." (*Crawley, et al*). Here, the claimant ha d applied for SSI based on her disability.

In the instant case, the claimant stated on her MA application that she was able to work, however the fact that she had applied for SSI through the Social Security Administration causes the Department under *Crawley* to investigate the claimant's claim of disability further.

Disability

A person is **disabled** when **all** of the following are true:

He has a medically determined physical or mental impairment.

His impairment prevents him from engaging in any substantial gainful activity.

His impairment

Can be expected to result in death, or Has lasted at least 12 consecutive months, or Is expected to last at least 12 consecutive months. (BEM 260, p. 8).

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based up	on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions
of Law, and for the reasons stated on the	e record, finds that the Department
☐ did act properly when ☐ d	did not act properly when it failed to recognize
the claimant's filing for SSI as a claim of and rule on the claimant's disability.	disability that would cause it to fully investigate
Accordingly, the Department's ☐ AMP [is ☐ AFFIRMED ☒ REVERSED for the	☐ FIP ☐ FAP ☒ MA ☐ SDA ☐ CDC decision reasons stated on the record.

☑ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Return to the closure date of July 31, 2011, reopen the claim ant's MA and begin its process of determining whether or not the claimant is disabled.

Michael J. Bennane
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: December 8, 2011

Date Mailed: December 8, 2011

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

MJB/cl

