STATE OF MICHIGAN

MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2011-46991

Issue No.: 3008

Case No.:

Hearing Date: September 1, 2011

Wayne County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan Burke

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, at elephone hearing was held on September 1, 2011 in Detroit, Michigan. Claimant appeared and testified. The Department of Hum an Services (Department) was represented by Assistance Payments Worker.

ISSUE

Was the Department correct i n its decisi on to close Claim ant's Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) case due to refusal to cooperate with the Department?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was an ongoing FAP recipient.
- The Department issued to Claim ant a Verification Checklist dated July 14, 2011 requesting numerous verifications, among which were requests for the last thirty days of check stubs or earnings.
- 3. The Department issued a Verific ation of Employment form, da ted July 14, 2011, requesting employment information from May 1, 2011 to July 14, 2011.

201146991/SB

- 4. Claimant returned all verifications to the best of her knowledge, with the assistance of Detroit Public Sc hools, with whom she was contracting as a substitute teacher.
- 5. The Department closed Claimant's case, effective August 1, 2011, due to failure to cooperate with the Department.
- 6. Claimant requested a hearing, protesting the closure.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) (formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program) is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal r egulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the FAP program pursuant to CML 400.10 *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Elig ibility Manual (B EM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

Clients must cooperate with the local DHS office in obtaining verification for determining initial and ongoing eligib ility. BAM 130. The request ed information might be from the client or a third party. *Id.* The Department can use documents, collateral contacts or home calls to verify information. *Id.* The client should be allowed 10 calendar days to provide the verification. If the client cannot provide the verification despite a reasonable effort, the time limit to provide the information should be extended at least once. BAM 130. If the client refuses to provide the information or has not made a reasonable effort within the specified time period, then polic y directs that a negative action be issued. BAM 130.

In the present case, the Department iss — ued conflic ting requests to Claimant. The Verification Checklist requested the last th — irty days of pay stubs or earnings. The Verification of Employment, on the second page in small type, requested employment information from May 1, 2011 to July 14, 2011. Both Claimant and the employer with whom she contracted in substitute teaching, supplied information only about Claimant's last thirty days of employment after having read the requests from the Department. This Administrative Law Judge cannot find that — Claimant failed to cooperate, as Claimant believed she was submitting the requested information. Therefore, the Department was incorrect in closing Claimant's FAP case due to Claimant refusing to cooperate with the Department.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law, find sith the Department's decision to close FAP case for failing to cooperate was incorrect and, therefore, it is ORDE RED that the Department's decision is REVERSED. It is further ORDERED that the Department shall

- 1. Initiate reinstatement of Claimant's FAP case, effective August 1, 20 11, if Claimant is otherwise eligible.
- 2. Issue FAP supplements to Claimant from August 1, 2011 and ongoing if Claimant is found to be eligible for FAP.

Susan Burke Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Susa C. Bruke

Date Signed: 9/7/11

Date Mailed: 9/7/11

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party wit hin 30 days of the ma iling date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde r a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt of the rehearing decision.

SB/sm

cc: