STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES





Reg. No.: 2011-46467 Issue No.: 2009 Case No.: Hearing Date: October 26, 2011 Wayne County DHS (15)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Colleen M. Mamelka

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a hearing was held in Detroit, Michigan on Wednes day, October 26, 2011. The Claimant did n ot appear; however, his Authoriz ed Hearing Represent ative ("AHR"), appeared and testified on his behalf.

appeared on behalf of the Department of Human Services ("Department").

ISSUE

Whether the Department proper ly processed the Claimant's March 5, 2010 application for Medical Assistance ("MA") benefits retroactive to February?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claimant/AHR s ubmitted an applic ation for public assistance seeking MA benefits retroactive to February, on March 5, 2010. (Exhibits 1, 3)
- 2. The application indicated that a minor child resided in the home. (Exhibit 4)
- 3. The application was not timely registered and no verification checklists were sent to the Claimant/AHR.
- 4. On April 2, 2011, the Department denied the application. (Exhibit 5)

2011-46467/CMM

5. On June 10, 2011, the Department received the Claimant's timely written request for hearing.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulation. The Department of Human Services, formerly known as the Family Independence Agency, administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq .*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manu al ("BAM"), the Bridges Eligibility Manual ("BEM"), and the Bridges Reference Tables ("RFT").

A request for public assistance may be in per son, by mail, telephone or through an internet application. BAM 110. Any per son, regardless of age, or his authorized representative, may apply for assistance. BAM 110. An author ized representative ("AR") is a person who applies for assistance on behalf of the cli ent and/or otherwis e acts of his behalf. BAM 110. An individual who is not a spouse, parent, legal guardian, adult child, stepchild, or other specified relative of the person, must have a signed authorization to act on behalf of the client, by the client, client's spouse, parent(s) or legal guardian. BAM 110. An AR assumes all respon sibilities of the client and must provide his name, address, and title or rela tionship to the client. BAM 110. The application form must be signed by the client or the individual ac ting as the authorized representative. BAM 110. An application received from an agency is acceptable if it is signed by an individual and is accompanied by written documentation from the client authorizing the agency to act as the authoriz ed representative. BAM 110. For MA purposes, an authorized representative must be designated in writing by the client. BAM 110. If a client refuses to cooperate in the application process, a denial notic e is sent within the standard of promptness. BAM 115.

In this case, the AR submitted an applic ation on behalf of t he Claimant, along with signed authorizations to represent, on March 5, 2010, seeking retroactive benefits for February 2010. Presumably, due to the local office physically moving its lo cation, as well as other local office moves, the MA app lication was not received until April 2011. The Depar tment did not send out verific ation checklists or at tempt to secure any information regarding the Claimant's eligib ility. Instead, on April 2, 2011, th е Department denied applic ation because the CI aimant was not aged, blind, under 21, pregnant, or parent/caretaker relative of a dependent child. In review of the application, there was (is) a minor child that resided in the home. Ultimately, the Department failed to establish it acted in accordance with De partment policy when it failed to determine the Cla imant's MA elig ibility especially in light of the minor child in the hom e. Accordingly, the denial of MA benefits is REVERSED.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds the Department's actions are not upheld.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED:

- 1. The Department's denial is REVERSED.
- 2. The Department shall register and init iate processing of the March 5, 2010 MA application retroactive to February, in accordance with Department policy.
- 3. The Department shall notify the Claimant, and his Authoriz ed Hear ing Representative, of the determination in accordance with Department policy.
- 4. The Department shall supplement for lo st benefits (if any) that the Claimant was entitled to receiv e if otherwise elig ible and qualified in acc ordance with Department policy.

Colleen M. Mamilka

Colleen M. Mamelka Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: October 27, 2011

Date Mailed: October 27, 2011

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at t he request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde r a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

2011-46467/CMM

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CMM/cl

