STATE OF MICHIGAN
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

## IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No.: 2013-44216


Issue No.:
2000
Case No.:
Hearing Date: July 3, 2013
County:
Genesee-06 County DHS
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Corey A. Arendt

## SETTLEMENT ORDER

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400. 9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on July 3, 2013, fr om Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included . Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

## ISSUE

Did the Department properly process a April 18, 2010 application for MA and Retro MA?

## FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantia I evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On September 14, 2011, Adm inistrative Law Judge Scully iss ued a decision ordering $t$ he Department to redetermine the Claimant 's ap plication for MA benefits beginning April 28, 2010.
2. On April 18, 2013, $\quad$ requested a hearing to enforce the September 14, 2011 decision.
3. On or around April 22, 2013, the Department requested a ticket be iss ued to comply with the September 14, 2011 decision.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), the Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and the State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The MA program is established by the Titl e XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
The law pr ovides that disposition may be made of a contest ed case by s tipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24.278(2).

In the present case, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the Department's action. Soon after commencement of the hearing, the parties testifi ed that they had reached a settlement concerning the disputed action. Consequently, the Department agreed to do the following: to make an inquiry into the st atus of the April 18, 2013 ticket and iss ue a written status update to

As a result of this settlement, Claimant no longer wish es to proceed with the hearing. As such, it is unnec essary for this Admi nistrative Law Judge to render a decis ion regarding the facts and issues in this case.

## DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing.

## THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING:

1. To make an inquiry into the status of the April 18, 2013 ticke $t$ and issue a written status update to L\&S.


Corey A. Arendt
Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services
Date Signed: July 3, 2013
Date Mailed: July 5, 2013
NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the receipt date of this Dec ision and Orde r. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Dec ision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehea ring was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing MAY be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical erro r, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address ot her relevant iss ues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at
Michigan Administrative hearings
Recons ideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322
CAA/las


