## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

 		 $\sim$
THF	$\mathbf{N}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}$	( ) L

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date:	2011-46405 2007 October 17 2011			
	County:	Wayne (15)			
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Beni	nane				
HEARING DECIS					
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for telephone hearing was held on Oc tober 17, 2011, on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Partic Human Services (Department) included	r a hearing. After from Detroi t, Mic	due notice, a			
ISSUE					
Due to a failure to comply with the ve rification properly ⊠ deny Claimant's application □ close 0 benefits for:		id the Department ] reduce Claimant's			
	State Disability As Child Developme	ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)?			
FINDINGS OF FACT					
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the evidence on the whole record, including testimony					
1. Cla imant ⊠ applied for ☐ was receiving: ☐Fl	P □FAP □MA [	⊠SDA □CDC.			
2. Cla imant ☐ was ☐ was not provided with a Re	edetermination Pa	cket.			
3. Claimant was required to submit requested verification by November 29, 2010.					

<ul> <li>4. On January 27, 2011, the Department</li> <li>denied Claimant's application</li> <li>closed Claimant's case</li> <li>reduced Claimant's benefits</li> <li>for failure to submit verification in a timely m</li> </ul>	nanner.
<ul> <li>5. On January 27, 2011, the Department sent</li> <li></li></ul>	notice of the
<ol> <li>On February 8, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing denial. ☐ closure. ☐ reduction.</li> </ol>	ng request, protesting the
CONCLUSIONS	S OF LAW
Department policies are found in the Bridges A Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tab	
☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) w Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc il 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (former Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400. through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid effective October 1, 1996.	iation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, y known as the Family Independence 10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101
☐ The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [for program] is establis hed by the Food St amimplemented by the federal regulations contal Regulations (CFR). The Department (former Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 4400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.	np Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is ined in Title 7 of the Code of Federal ly known as the Family Independence
☐ The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es Security Act and is im plemented by Title 42 of The Department (formerly known as the F amily MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., a	the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). y Independence Agency) administers the
☑ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) progr for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA as the F amily Independence Agency) admini s 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.315	A 344. The Depart ment (formerly known sters the SDA program pursuant to M CL
☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) po and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Child 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Wor	Care and Developm ent Block Grant of

The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adult and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department $\square$ properly $\square$ improperly
<ul><li>☐ closed Claimant's case.</li><li>☐ denied Claimant's application.</li><li>☐ reduced Claimant's benefits.</li></ul>
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department  ☐ did act properly. ☐ did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Depar tment's decision is $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$
Michael J. Bennane Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: October 26, 2011

Date Mailed: October 26, 2011

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the receipt date of this Dec ision and Orde r. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

## 2011-46405/MJB

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## MJB/cl

cc: