## STATE OF MICHIGAN

# MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2011-46325

Issue No.: 3008

Case No.:

Hearing Date: August 29, 2011

Wayne County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan Burke

## **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, at elephone hearing was held on August 29, 2011 in De troit, Michigan. Claimant appeared and testified. The Department of Human Services (Department) was represented by FIM.

# <u>ISSUE</u>

Was the Department correct in its decisio n to decrease Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits due to failure to cooperate with child support requirements?

## FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was an ongoing recipient of FAP.
- Claimant received a Judgment of Filiation on March 14, 2011.
- 3. The Office of Child Support placed a noncooperation notification on t he Department's system on July 4, 2011.
- Claimant attempted to cont act her child support worker several times by phone, but he did not return her call.
- 5. The Department decreas ed Claimant's FAP benefits effective August 1, 2011 due to failure to cooperate with child support requirements.

#### 201146325/SB

6. Claimant requested a hearing on July 29, 2011, protesting the decrease in FAP benefits.

# **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) (formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program) is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal r egulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the FAP program pursuant to CML 400.10 *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Elig ibility Manual (B EM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

Clients must comply with all requests for action or information needed to establish paternity and/or obtain child disupport on behalf of children for whom they receive assistance, unless a claim of good cause for not cooperating has been granted or is pending. Failure to cooperate without good cause result is in disingular qualification. Disqualification includes member removal, denial of program beneforms, and/or case closure, depending on the program. BEM 255.

## BEM 255, p. 7 instructs:

Cooperation is required in all phases of the process to establish paternity and obtain support. It includes **all** of the following:

- Contacting the support specialist when requested.
- Providing all known information about the absent parent.
- Appearing at the office of the prosecuting attorney when requested.
- Taking any actions needed to establish paternity and obtain child support (including but not limited to testifying at hearings or obtaining blood tests).

Regulations governing the Office of Child Support (OCS) can be found in the IV-D Manual (4DM).

Non-cooperation exists when a client, without good cause, willfully and repeatedly fails or refuses to provide information and/or take an action resulting in delays or prevention of support action. 4DM 115.

Before finding a client non-cooperative, the Support Specialist must establis hand document that the client failed and/or refused to provide known or obtainable information and/or to take an action without an acceptable reason or excuse. 4DM 115. The goal of the cooperation requirement is to obtain support. Support specialists should

#### 201146325/SB

find non-c ooperation only as a last reso rt. There is no minimum information requirement. 4DM 115.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law decides that the Department was not correct in its decision to decrease Claimant's FAP benefits due to failure to cooperate wit h child support requirements, and it is therefore ORDERED that the Department's decision is REVE RSED. It is further ORDERED that the Department shall:

- 1. Remove the negative action imposed on Claimant's FAP case on July 4, 2011.
- 2. Initiate restoration of Claimant's F AP benefits, effective August 1, 2011, if Claimant is otherwise eligible.
- 3. Issue supplemental payments for any missed or increased FAP benefits, effective August 1, 2011 and ongoing.

Susan Burke
Administrative Law Judge
For Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 9/1/11

Date Mailed: 9/1/11

## 201146325/SB

**NOTICE:** Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party wit hin 30 days of the ma illing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde r a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt of the rehearing decision.

## SB/sm

