STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No.: 2011-46220

Issue No.: 2009

Case No.:

Hearing Date: November 7, 2011
DHS County: Macomb (50-36)



ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jonathan W. Owens

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, an in-person hearing was held on November 7, 2011, in Sterling Heights, MI. Claimant appeared and testified. Claimant was represented by Department of Human Services (Department) was represented by

ISSUE

Whether the Department properly determined that Claimant is not "disabled" for purposes of the Medical Assistance (MA-P) program?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On December 20, 2010, Claimant applied for MA-P and retro MA-P to October 2010.
- 2. On June 27, 2011, the Medical Review Team denied Claimant's request.
- 3. On July 27, 2011, Claimant submitted to the Department a request for hearing.
- The State Hearing and Review Team (SHRT) denied Claimant's request.
- Claimant is 60 years old.
- 6. Claimant completed education through the 10th grade.

- 7. Claimant has employment experience (last worked December 2006) as a bartender.
- 8. Claimant's limitations have lasted for 12 months or more.
- 9. Claimant suffers from orbital fracture, post-operative infection, high blood pressure, left ankle fracture and stroke.
- 10. Claimant has significant limitations on physical activities involving sitting, standing, walking, bending, lifting, and stooping.
- 11. On June 17, 2011, application. MRT found Claimant disabled as of June 17, 2011, application.
- 12. Claimant applied for SSI on May 20, 2011, but failed to allege an earlier disability onset date. The Social Security Administration found Claimant SSI-eligible based on being found disabled as of July 1, 2011.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

MA-P is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department administers MA-P pursuant to MCL 400.10 *et seq.* and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (RFT).

Because of the Social Security Administration determination, it is not necessary for the Administrative Law Judge to discuss the issue of disability, per BEM Item 260.

The Department is required to initiate a determination of Claimant's financial eligibility for the requested benefits, if not previously done, beginning April 2011. The prior months of requested coverage are denied per BEM 260, since the Social Security Administration issued a final determination.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that Claimant is medically disabled under the MA program as of April 2011.

Accordingly, the Department is hereby ORDERED to open an ongoing MA case for

Claimant effective April 2011.

Jonathan W. Owens
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: November 17, 2011

Date Mailed: November 17, 2011

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Reconsideration/Rehearing Request

P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

JWO/pf

