STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2011-45922 2021 September 21, 2011 Ingham		
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fahie				
HEARING DECISION				
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Wednesday, September 21, 2011, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant's daughter, POA, and attorney, Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included				
ISSUE				
Due to excess assets, did the Department properly ⊠ deny Claimant's application ☐ close Claimant's case for:				
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐		Assistance (AMP)? Assistance (SDA)?		
FINDINGS OF FACT				
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including the testimony at the hearing, finds as material fact:				
Claimant ⊠ applied for benefits ☐ received benefits for:				
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ Medical Assistance (MA). ☐		Assistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA).		

2.	Due to excess assets, on May 23, 2011, the Department ☑ denied Claimant's application. ☐ closed Claimant's case.
3.	On May 23, 2011, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. Closure.
4.	On July 11, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☑ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	epartment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges gibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal sponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.
Se Th	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
for as	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 0.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.
(2) be	ditionally, Claimant applied for MA benefits on February 17, 2011. Claimant had two properties listed in his name. The first property was which was determined to be Claimant's homestead property and exempt from counted as an asset. The second property was according to the daughter's testimony, the property has been rental operty since 1998. It currently has no tenants according to the Department.
ce off	aimant's attorney submitted a certificate of compliance for a rental dwelling that rtifies the dwelling until April 1, 2011. Claimant Exhibits 10-11. A newspaper ad ran ering the property for rent from aimant Exhibit 9. In addition, a copy of a new lease was submitted starting June 1,

2011, for \$700 per month for a month-to-month lease with a \$100 deduction for repairs made to the property. Claimant Exhibits 1-8. Claimant's attorney contends that the rental property is a business and should be an exempt asset.

Income-Producing Real Property

SSI-Related MA

Exclude up to \$6000 of equity in income-producing real property if it produces annual countable income equal to at least six percent of the asset group's equity in the asset. Countable income is total proceeds minus actual operating expenses.

Use the Employment Asset Exclusions in this item for property used in a business or trade. BEM 400, page 28.

EMPLOYMENT ASSET EXCLUSIONS

General Employment Exclusion SSI-Related MA and FAP Exclude employment assets (see above) that:

- Are required by a person's employer.
- Produce income directly through their use.

Such assets remain excluded when a person is unemployed only if the person intends to return to that type of work. BEM, Page 41-42.

This Administrative Law finds that the rental property is not a business exemptable by the employment asset exclusions. It is just rental property available for rent for persons to live in. As a result, the rental property at Stirling is a countable asset.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess assets, the Department

properly denied Claimant's application properly closed Claimant's case	improperly denied Claimant's application improperly closed Claimant's case
for: 🗌 AMP 🗌 FIP 🔀 MA 🗌 SDA.	

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above F of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds tl ☐ did act properly. ☐ did not act properly.	<u> </u>
Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP MA AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated or	
	Cormon C. Echio
	Carmen G. Fahie Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services
Date Signed:	Department of Human Services
Date Mailed:	

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

2011-45922/CGF

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CGF/pf

