STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2011-45857

Issue No.: 2018

Case No.:

Hearing Date: December 21, 2011 County: Wayne (82-18)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jonathan W. Owens

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, an in-person hearing was held on December 21, 2011, in Taylor, MI. Claimant appeared and testified. Claimant was represented by Department of Human Services (Department) was represented by

ISSUE

Whether the Department properly closed Claimant's Medical Assistant benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- Claimant moved out of his mother's residence.
- 2. On April 4, 2011, the Department indicated that on BRIDGES, an *ex parte* review revealed Claimant was eligible for another MA program.
- On April 4, 2011, the Department issued a case closure notice to Claimant's mother indicating MA coverage would close for him as of May 1, 201,1 since he was no longer under 21.
- 4. On May 17, 2011, Claimant reapplied for MA.

5. On June 2, 2011, Claimant requested a hearing regarding the Department's closure of his MA case.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

| Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT). |
|--|
| ☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 through R 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. |
| ☐ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 through R 400.3015. |
| ☑ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. |
| ☐ The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq. |
| ☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through R 400.3180. |
| ☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Admin Code, R 400.5001 through R 400.5015. |

In the instant case, the Department is required to complete an *ex parte* review prior to case closure. At hearing, the Department testified the prior application for benefits and the application submitted by Claimant's mother after April 4, 2011, failed to indicate Claimant suffered from a disability. A review of the file according to the Department witness demonstrated no other MA programs that Claimant would qualify under. The Department acknowledged the worker completing the review checked that Claimant was eligible for another MA program. The Department indicated this likely occurred due to the worker attempting to process the case for closure and no real eligibility for MA had been found. This case is further complicated by Claimant moving out of his mother's home. Claimant testified that he did, in fact, move out of his mother's residence. Claimant was instructed by the Department to file a new application if he was claiming a disability. Claimant did file a subsequent MA application based on disability.

Here the record demonstrates the Department failed to complete the review 90 days prior to case closure. However, this error is harmless at best since when the review was completed, based on the information in the file the Claimant was found not to be eligible for another MA program. Claimant's mother failed to indicate he was disabled on prior applications and the only time a disability was alleged was after the case closure. The Department would have come to the same finding if the review were completed 90 days earlier as it did on April 4, 2011.

DECISION AND ORDER

| The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusion of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department $	extstyle \square$ did act properly when it closed the Claimant's MA coverage. |
|--|
| Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \square FAP \boxtimes MA \square SDA \square CDC decisio is \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record. |

Jonathan W. Owens
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: January 19, 2012

Date Mailed: January 19, 2012

<u>NOTICE</u>: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or

reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

JWO/pf

