STATE OF MICHIGAN

MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 201145530

Issue No.: 1038

Case No.:

Hearing Date:

August 25, 2011

Wayne County DHS (76)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Christian Gardocki

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on August 25, 2011 from Detroit, Michigan. The claimant appeared and testified. On behalf of Department of Human Services (DHS), Specialist, and Vanessa McCoy, Manager, appeared and testified.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Whether DHS properly terminated Claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP) benefits due to Claimant's alleged noncompliance with Jobs, Education and Training (JET) participation.

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was an ongoing FIP benefit recipient.
- 2. In 5/2011, Claimant began, and reported to DHS, 40 hour per week employment.
- Claimant's full-time employment lasted through 6/2011.
- 4. On an unspecified date, DHS assigned Claimant to attend JET orientation on 6/13/11.
- 5. Claimant failed to attend the orientation.

201145530/CG

- 6. On 7/15/11, DHS initiated termination of Claimant's FIP benefits effective 8/2011 due to alleged noncompliance with JET participation by Claimant.
- 7. On 7/28/11, Claimant requested an administrative hearing to dispute the FIP benefit termination.
- 8. DHS and Claimant reached an agreement that Claimant's FIP benefits should be reinstated effective 8/2011.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, et seq. DHS administers the FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq and MAC R 400.3101-3131. DHS policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The undersigned will refer to the DHS regulations in effect as of 7/2011, the month of the DHS decision which Claimant is disputing. Current DHS manuals may be found online at the following URL: http://www.mfia.state.mi.us/olmweb/ex/html/.

DHS requires clients to participate in employment and self-sufficiency related activities and to accept employment when offered. BEM 233A at 1. Federal and state laws require each work eligible individual (WEI) in a FIP group to participate in Jobs, Education and Training (JET) Program or other employment-related activity unless temporarily deferred or engaged in activities that meet participation requirements. *Id.* These clients must participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency related activities to increase their employability and obtain employment. *Id.*

JET is a program administered by the Michigan Department of Energy, Labor and Economic Growth through the Michigan Works! Agencies. *Id.* The JET program serves employers and job seekers for employers to have skilled workers and job seekers to obtain jobs that provide economic self-sufficiency. *Id.*

The WEI is considered noncompliant for failing or refusing to appear and participate with JET or other employment service provider. *Id* at 2. Note that DHS regulations do not objectively define, "failure or refusing to appear and participate with JET". Thus, it is left to interpretation how many hours of JET absence constitute a failure to participate.

DHS regulations provide some guidance on this issue elsewhere in their policy. A client's participation in an unpaid work activity may be interrupted by occasional illness or unavoidable event. BEM 230 at 22. A WEI's absence may be excused up to 16 hours in a month but no more than 80 hours in a 12-month period. *Id*.

Good cause is a valid reason for noncompliance with employment and/or self-sufficiency related activities that are based on factors that are beyond the control of the noncompliant person. *Id* at 3. Good cause includes any of the following: employment for 40 hours/week, physically or mentally unfit, illness or injury, reasonable accommodation, no child care, no transportation, illegal activities, discrimination, unplanned event or factor, long commute or eligibility for an extended FIP period. *Id* at 4. A claim of good cause must be verified. *Id* at 3.

DHS initially terminated Claimant's FIP benefits due to noncompliance with JET participation for Claimant's failure to attend JET orientation on 6/13/11. DHS has since realized that Claimant's full-time employment was not considered in the noncompliance determination. The full-time employment would have given Claimant good cause for a failure to attend JET.

DHS proposed that Claimant's FIP benefits be reinstated effective 8/2011 and that Claimant be resent to JET orientation. Claimant accepted the DHS proposal. As the agreement between Claimant and DHS appears to comply with DHS regulations, the undersigned accepts the agreement as a resolution to Claimant's dispute.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds that DHS improperly terminated Claimant's FIP benefits effective 8/2011. It is ordered that DHS:

- (1) reinstate Claimant's FIP benefits effective 8/2011; and
- (2) supplement Claimant for any benefits lost as a result of the improper finding of noncompliance; and
- (3) remove any relevant disqualification from Claimant's disqualification history as a result of the improper finding of noncompliance.

The actions taken by DHS are REVERSED.

Christian Gardocki Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director

(hustin Dordock

Department of Human Services

201145530/CG

Date Signed: August 26, 2011

Date Mailed: August 26, 2011

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

CG/hw

