# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2011-45490 Issue Nos.: 2000, 3015

Case No.:

Hearing Date: October 31, 2011 County: Macomb (50-12)

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Jan Leventer

### **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on October 31, 2011. from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant and her husband, behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included

Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the Department's action regarding her Medical Assistance (MA) and Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits. Shortly after commencement of the hearing, Claimant testified that she now understood and accepted the action taken by the Department with regard to MA. Claimant also testified that she did not wish to proceed with a hearing on the MA issue. The Department agreed to the dismissal of Claimant's hearing request.

### <u>ISSUE</u>

Due to excess income, did the Department properly
deny Claimant's application
☐ close Claimant's Medical Assistance (MA) case
□ reduce Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1.	Claimant  applied for benefits for:  received benefits for:			
	<ul> <li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).</li> <li>☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).</li> <li>☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA).</li> <li>☐ Child Development and Care (CDC).</li> </ul>			
2.	On July 16, 2011, the Department  denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's MA case reduced Claimant's FAP benefits due to excess income.			
3.	On July 16, 2011, the Department sent  Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)  notice of the denial. Closure of MA. reduction of FAP.			
4.	On July 23, 2011, Claimant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting the $\square$ denial of the application. $\boxtimes$ closure of the MA case. $\boxtimes$ reduction of FAP benefits.			
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW				
Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).				
☐ The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .				
☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.				
☐ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.				
The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.				

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance or disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly knowns the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MC 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.				
The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IV and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant (1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1990). The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 9 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MC 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.				
Additionally, at the Administrative Hearing the Claimant indicated that she was satisfied with the Department's action with regard to her MA and requested that the Administrative Law Judge dismiss this issue from the case. Also at the Hearing, Claimant verified her and her husband's income and shelter expense, but agreed that she had not submitted medical expense statements and receipts so as to qualify for the FAP medical expense deduction. At the Hearing, the process for submitting the documentation was explained.				
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess income, the Department $\  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \ $				
<ul> <li>☐ denied Claimant's application</li> <li>☐ reduced Claimant's benefits</li> <li>☐ closed Claimant's case</li> </ul>				
or:				
With regard to Claimant's MA benefits, the Michigan Administrative Code R 400.903(1) provides as follows:				
An opportunity for a hearing shall be granted to an applicant who requests a hearing because his claim for assistance is denied or is not acted upon with reasonable promptness, and to any recipient who is aggrieved by an agency action resulting in suspension, reduction, discontinuance, or termination of assistance.				

Based on the above discussion, it is ORDERED that the MA issue is DISMISSED pursuant to MAC R 400.903(1) and 400.906(1).

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above I of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds to to act properly.	o e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e		
Accordingly, the Department's $\square$ AMP $\square$ FIP $\boxtimes$ FAP is $\square$ AFFIRMED $\square$ REVERSED for the reasons stated			
With regard to Claimant's MA dispute, pursuant to MAC R 400.903(1) and 400.906(1), the MA issue is hereby DISMISSED.			
	Jan Coventi		
	Jan Leventer		
	Administrative Law Judge		
	for Maura Corrigan, Director		
	Department of Human Services		

Date Signed: November 1, 2011

Date Mailed: November 1, 2011

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision.
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## JL/pf

