# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2011-45263

Issue No.: 4060

Case No.:

Hearing Date: October 5, 2011

County: Jackson

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Vicki L. Armstrong

## **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37; MCL 400.43 (a); Mich Admin Code, R 400.941 and MCL 24.201, et seq., upon a hearing request by the Department of Human Services (Department) to establish an over issuance (OI) of benefits to Respondent. After due notice, a hearing was held on October 5, 2011.

Respondent appeared and testif ied, and was represented by



## ISSUE

Did Respondent receive an overissuance (OI) of Food Ass istance Program (FAP) benefits?

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Respondent was a recipient of FAP during the period February 2010 through August, 2010.
- 2. Respondent received a FAP OI during the period Fe bruary 2010 through August, 2010, due to Department's error.
- 3. \$ of the OI is still due and owing to the Department.

# **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Feder al Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Fam ily Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

Additionally, Respondent admit ted that she received the overissuance, however, she cannot afford to repay it at this time.

Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Conclus ions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the D epartment properly determined that Respondent received a Color FAP benefits.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, finds that the Department did make the correct determination to establish a debt.

Accordingly, the Department is AFFIRMED with respect to the overissuance for the reasons stated on the record.

The Department is ORDERED to initiate collection procedures in accordance with Department policy.

Vicki L. Armstrong Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Duchi 2 Q

Date Signed: October 5, 2011

Date Mailed: October 5, 2011

**NOTICE**: The law provides that within 60 days from the mailing date of the above hearing Decision the Re spondent may appeal it to the circuit court for the county in which he/she resides or has his or her principal place of business in this state, or in the circuit court for Ingham County. Administ rative Hearings, on it sown motion, or on

request of a party within 60 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, may order a rehearing.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request

P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

#### VLA/alc

cc: