STATE OF MICHIGAN

MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2011-44744

Issue No.: <u>1038</u>

Case No.:

Hearing Date: August 22, 2011

Macomb County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. Burke

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, at elephone hearing was held on August 22, 2011 in De troit, Michigan. Claimant appeared and testified. Claimant's spous e, represented by JET Case Manager.

ISSUE

Was the Department correct in i ts decision to close Claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP) case and decreas e Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits due to failure to comply with work-related activities?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was an ongoing FIP and FAP recipient.
- 2. On June 2, 2011, the Department iss ued to Claim ant's spouse a Notic e of Noncompliance with no dates of noncompliance listed in said Notice.
- 3. A triage was scheduled for June 9, 2011.
- 4. Claimant attempted to contact his Department worker on J une 6, 2011, but the worker's voice mail stated she would be out of the office until June 18, 2011.

- 5. The Depar tment closed Claimant's FIP c ase and decreased Claimant's FAP benefits, effective July 1, 2011, after a triage was held on June 9, 2011.
- 6. On June 23, 2011, Claimant requested a hearing, protesting the negative action.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

FIP was e stablished pursuant to the Pers onal Resp onsibility a nd Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department of Human Services (Department) administers the FIP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3101-3131. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Brid ges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

FAP was established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department administers the F AP program pursuant to CML 400.10 *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Department policies are found in the BAM, BEM and PRM.

The Depar tment requires clients to particle pate in employment and s elf-sufficiencyrelated activities and to accept employment when offered. BEM 230A; BEM 233A. All Work Eligible Indiv iduals (WEIs) are required to participate in the development of a Family Self-Sufficiency Pla n (F SSP) u nless good c ause e xists. BEM 228. As condition of eligibility, all WEIs must enga ge in employment and/ or self-sufficiencyrelated activities. BEM 233A. The WEI is consid ered non-compliant for failing o r refusing to appear and participate with the JET Program or othe r employment service provider. BEM 233A. Good cause is a valid reason for noncompliance with employment and/or s elf-sufficiency-related activities that are bas ed on factors that are beyond the control of the noncompliant per son. BEM 233A. Failure to comply without good cause results in FIP closure. BEM 2 33A. The first and second occ urrences of non-compliance result in a th ree-month FIP closure. BE M 233A. The third occurrence results in a twelve-month sanction. The goal of The FIP penalty policy is to bring the client into compliance. BEM 233A.

JET participants will not be te rminated from a JET program without first scheduling a triage meeting with the client to jointly discuss noncompliance and good cause. BEM 233A. In processing a FIP closure, the Department is required to send the client a Notice of Noncompliance (DHS-2444) which must include the dat e(s) of the noncompliance, the reason the client was determined to be noncompliant; and the penalty duration. BEM 233A. (Emphasis added.) In addition, a triage must be held within the negative action period. BEM 233A.

201144744/SB

In the present case, the Department i ssued to Claimant's sp ouse a Notice of Noncompliance dated June 2, 2011, which Noti ce did not contain the dates of allege d noncompliance, as required in BEM 233A. In addition, the Department did not submit into evidence a Notic e of Noncomplianc e as to Claim ant being noncompliant and the dates of his noncomplianc e. The Department generally re lied on "Update View Cas e Notes," alleging that Claimant did not submit "his" job search hours.

Since there were no dates in the Notice of Noncompliance with re spect to Cla imant's spouse, and the Department di d not submit into evidence a Notice of Noncomplianc e with respect to Claimant, the Department coul d not prove that it correctly closed Claimant's FIP case and decreased Claimant's FAP benefits due to noncompliance with work-related activities on the dates in a Notice of Noncompliance. Furthermore Claimant and his spouse testified credibly that they attempted to submit JET verification to their Michigan Works worker, who has since left Michigan Works, but that worker told them to submit the paperwork to their Department worker. When attempting to contact their Department worker to ascertai n information regarding the Notice of Noncompliance, Claimant received a message that the Department worker would be out of the office until past the triage date. Based on the above discussion, I am not persuaded that Claimant or his spouse refus ed or failed to comply with work-related activities, and I therefore find that the Department was not correct in its decision to close Claimant's FIP case and decrease Claimant's FAP benefits.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law decides that the Department was not correct in its decision to close Claimant's FIP case and decrease Claimant's FAP benefits, and it is therefore ORDERED that the Department's decision is RE VERSED. It is further O RDERED that the Department shall:

- 1.) Remove the negative acti on imposed on Claimant's FI P case, effective July 1, 2011.
- 2.) Initiate the reinstatement of Claimant's FIP case, e ffective July 1, 2011, if Claimant is otherwise eligible.

201144744/SB

- 3.) Initiate the restoration of Claim ant's FAP benefits, effective July 1, 201 1, if Claimant is otherwise eligible.
- 4.) Issue supplements for any missed or in creased FIP or FAP payments from July 1, 2011 and ongoing.

Susan C. Burke

Administrative Law Judge

For Maura Corrigan Director

Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 8/29/11

Date Mailed: 8/29/11

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party wit hin 30 days of the ma illing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

SB/sm

