STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

	-				\sim \sim
ın		нь.	$\mathbf{N}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}$		OF:



Reg. No.: 2011-44483

Issue No.: 3008

Case No.:

Hearing Date: September 29, 2011 County: Oakland County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. Burke

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administ rative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Sept ember 29, 2011, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant inclu ded Claim ant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) in cluded ES.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department properly decrease Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits due to noncooperation with child support issues?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge	e, based on t	he competent,	material,	and subst	antial
evidence on the whole record	finds as materi	ial fact:			

1. Cla imant ☐ applied for benefits ⊠ receive	ed benefits for:
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).☐ Medical Assistance (MA).	☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA).☐ Child Development and Care (CDC).

2.	On August 1, 2011, the	Department decreased Claim	ant's FAP benefits	due to
	refusal to cooperate in chi	ld support matters.		

3.	On July 1, 2011, the Department sent
	☐ Claimant ☐ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)
	notice of the decrease of benefits.

4. On July 28, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's action.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Feder al Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Fam ily Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

Clients must comply with all requests for action or information needed to establish paternity and/or obtain child disupport on behalf of children for whom they receive assistance, unless a claim of good cause for not cooperating has been granted or is pending. Failure to cooperate without good cause result is in disingular qualification. Disqualification includes member removal, denial of program beneforms, and/or case closure, depending on the program. BEM 255.

BEM 255, p. 7 instructs:

Cooperation is required in all phases of the process to establish paternity and obtain support. It includes **all** of the following:

- Contacting the support specialist when requested.
- Providing all known information about the absent parent.
- Appearing at the office of the prosecuting attorney when requested.
- Taking any actions needed to establish paternity and obtain child support (including but not limited to testifying at hearings or obtaining blood tests).

In the present case, the D epartment presented no evidence that Claim and did not cooperate in child support matters except a screen showing that the Office of Child Support imposed a sanction. No witness from the Office of Child Support was called to offer testimony regarding noncooperation, and Claimant testified credibly that the

screen offered by the Department listed an alleged ab sent parent whom Claimant did not know.

Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Co nclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department improperly decreased Claimant 's FAP benefits due to refu sal to cooperate in child support matters.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly. Solid not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \boxtimes FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is \square AFFIRMED \boxtimes REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
oxtimes THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Initiate removal of the sanction regarding child support matters.
- 2. Initiate restoration of Cla imant's FAP benefits, effective August 1, 2011, if Claimant is otherwise eligible.
- 3. Initiate issuance of FAP supplements to Claimant, effective August 1, 2011 and ongoing, if Claimant is otherwise eligible.

Susan C. Burke Administrative Law Judge

for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 10/5/11

Date Mailed: <u>10/5/11</u>

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SCB/sm

