STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No.: 2011-44478 Issue No.: 2026/3002

Case No.:

Hearing Date: September 28, 2011

DHS County: Macomb (12)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9; MSA 16.409 and MCL 400.37; M SA 16.437 upon the claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice a telephone hearing was held from Detroit, Michigan on September 28, 2011. The claimant appeared and testified.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department of Hum an Services (Department) pr operly figure her Medical Assistance (MA) deductible and Food Assistance (FAP) benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On February 1, 2011, after conducting a redetermination of t he claimant's MA and FAP, the Department changed the claimant's MA to a deductible of \$966.00 per month and reduced her FAP benefits to \$16.00 per month.
- 2. On July 5, 2011, the claimant fil ed a request for a hearing protesting the deductible amount for her MA and the reduction of her FAP benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is estab lished by Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MC L 400.105.

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) (formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program) is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal r egulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400. 10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Elig ibility Manual (B EM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

In the instant case, the claimant questions the Department's calculation of her MA.

The undersigned has reviewed the MA budget and found it to be correct. The protected income limit is \$408.00 per m onth for a group of one in M acomb County. The claimant's countable income is \$1,374.60 per month. This equals t he \$966.0 0 deductible. (RFT 240).

Similarly, the addition of t he claimant's RSDI disability income of \$1,510.00 per month allows for the claimant to receive the ec onomic recovery amount of \$16.00 per month, which is also correct.

This Administrative Law Judge's ympathizes with the claimant but there is nothing that can be done to change the above equations.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, AFFIRMS the Department's actions in the instant case.

Administrative for

Department

Michael J. Bennane Law Judge

Maura Corrigan, Director of Human Services

Date Signed: October 4, 2011

Date Mailed: October 4, 2011

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde rarehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request

P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

MJB/cl

CC:

