STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN	ιт	ш	ΞΜ	IΛ	ТΤ	D	\sim	С.
ш		п	= 17	м		$\overline{}$	u	Г.

Reg. No. 2011-43948

Issue No. 2000

Case No.

Hearing Date: September 12, 2011

County: Macomb (12)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane

SETTLEMENT ORDER

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on S eptember 12, 2011, in Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Serv ices (Department) included

ISSUE

Whether the Department properly:	
□ denied Claimant's application for benefits □ closed Claimant's case for benefits □ reduced Claimant's benefits	
for:	
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP) ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP) ☐ Medical Assistance (MA) ☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)	☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA) ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC) ☐ State Emergency Services (SER)


1.

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

On September 28, 2010, the Department:

	 ☑ denied Claimant's application for benefits ☐ closed Claimant's case for benefits ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits
	under the following program(s):
	☐ FIP ☐ FAP ☒ MA ☐ AMP ☐ SDA ☐ CDC ☐ SER.
2.	On September 28, 2010, the Department sent notice to Claimant (or Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative) of the:
	☑ denial☐ closure☐ reduction.
3.	On April 10, 2011, Cla imant filed a request for hearing concerning the Department's action.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
Eligib	rtment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges ility Manual (BEM), the Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and the State Emergency Manual (ERM).
Respo 42 US Ageno 400.3	ne Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal consibility and W ork Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, SC 601, et. seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence by) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et. seq., and 1997 AACS R 101-3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective per 1, 1996.
orogra mple	e Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [form erly known as the Food Stamp (FS) am] is establis hed by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is mented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal lations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independ ence



The law pr ovides that disposition may be made of a contest ed case by s tipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24.278(2).

In the present case, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the Department's action. Soon after commencement of the hearing, the parties testified that they had reached a settlement concerning the disputed action. Consequently, the Department agreed to do the following: reregister the claimant's August 18, 2010 MA and retroactive MA applications.

As a result of this settlement, Claimant no longer wish es to proceed with the hearing. As such, it is unnec essary for this Admi nistrative Law Judge to render a decis ion regarding the facts and issues in this case.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING:

1. Reregister the claimant's August 18, 2010 MA and retroactive MA applications.

Michael J. Bennane
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: September 16, 2011

Date Mailed: September 16, 2011

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde rarehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

MJB / cl

