STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

INI	ти	_ ^ ^	TTE	R OF:
114				K UF

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.:	2012 43572 2001			
	Hearing Date: County:	May 14, 2012 Oakland County DHS (03)			
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris					
HEARING DECISION					
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on May 14, 2012, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant and the Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative(AHR), Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included ES.					
<u>ISSUE</u>					
Did the Department properly \boxtimes deny Claimant's application \square close Claimant's case for:					
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐	Adult Medical Ass State Disability A Child Developme	,			
FINDINGS OF FACT					
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:					
Claimant ⊠ applied for benefits ☐ received b	enefits for:				
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP). ☐ Medical Assistance (MA). ☐		ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).			

2.	On May 6, 2010, the Department ightharpoonup denied Claimant's application ightharpoonup denied Claimant's case because the Adult Medical Program was not open to new adult applicants.
	The Department allso determined based upon the Claimant's Application that the Claimant was not the eligible as the primary caretaker of her minor children. Exhibit 1.
3.	On May 6, 2010, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. Closure.
4.	On June 4, 2010, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ⊠ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	epartment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the idges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence lency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.
pro im Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence lency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and 1999 AC, Rule 0.3001 through Rule 400.3015.
Se Th Ag	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence lency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 0.105.
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.
for	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human ervices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA

program pursuant to MCL 400.10, $et\ seq.$, and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.				
☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.				
Additionally, the Department, based upon the application completed by the Claimant, denied her request for medical assistance due to the fact that the Claimant (as an adult) was not eligible for medical assistance. The Adult Medical Program was not open to new applicants, as the program was closed.				
The Department also correctly determined, based on the application filed by the Claimant, that the Claimant was not considered for the Group 2 Caretaker program as the primary caretaker of her children, as she did not demonstrate that she had care and custody of her children the requisite amount of time per month as the primary caretaker. The Claimant's application provided that her children lived with her in her home 12 days out of the month and somewhere else for 18 days.				
In order to be considered a primary caretaker, the applicant must demonstrate that they provide the home where the child sleeps more than half of the days in a month, averaged over a twelve month period. BEM135, p. 4. Exhibit 1 p. D and E. Based on the information available to the Department provided by the Claimant at the time of the application, the Department correctly concluded that the Claimant was not the primary caretaker. Thus, the Claimant was not eligible to receive medical assistance as a Group 2 caretaker. Also at the time of the application, the Claimaint's children were receiving medical assistance on another case. Unfortunately, the Claimant incorrectly filled out the application, and the hours she provided a home were reversed, but that fact does not change the outcome of the Department's decision based upon all the information available to it. The Department correctly denied the Claimant's application.				
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department				
 □ properly denied Claimant's application □ properly closed Claimant's case □ improperly closed Claimant's case				
for: 🖂 AMP 🗌 FIP 🗌 FAP 🖂 MA 🗌 SDA 🗌 CDC.				
DECISION AND ORDER				

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department

$oxed{\boxtimes}$ did act properly.	did not act properly.
	tment's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision EVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
	T IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF IG OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: May 18, 2012

Date Mailed: May 18, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases).

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

LMF/hw

201143572/ LMF

