### STATE OF MICHIGAN

# MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2011-43349 Issue No.: 2006; 3008 Case No.:

Hearing Date: A

August 31, 2011

Wayne County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan Burke

## **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, at elephone hearing was held on August 31, 2011 in De troit, Michigan. Claimant appeared and testified. The Department of Human Services (Department) was represented by JET Case Manager.

# <u>ISSUE</u>

Was the D epartment correct in taking ne gative action on Claimant's Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) case due to failure to cooperate with child support requirements?

## FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was an ongoing MA and FAP recipient.
- 2. In July, 2011, the Department took negative action on Claimant's FAP cas e due to Claimant's daughter not cooperating with child support requirements.
- The Department may have taken negative action on Claimant's MA case.
- 4. Claimant requested a hearing on July 13, 2011, protesting the negative action.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) (formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program) is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal r egulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the FAP program pursuant to CML 400.10 *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Elig ibility Manual (B EM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

The Medic al Assistance (MA) program is est ablished by the Title XIX of the Socia I Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independenc e Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MC L 400.105. Department policies are found in BAM, BEM and PRM.

Clients must comply with all requests for action or information needed to establish paternity and/or obtain child disupport on behalf of children for whom they receive assistance, unless a claim of good cause for not cooperating has been granted or is pending. Failure to cooperate without good cause result is in disingular qualification. Disqualification includes member removal, denial of program beneforms, and/or case closure, depending on the program. BEM 255.

# BEM 255, p. 7 instructs:

Cooperation is required in all phases of the process to establish paternity and obtain support. It includes **all** of the following:

- Contacting the support specialist when requested.
- Providing all known information about the absent parent.
- Appearing at the office of the prosecuting attorney when requested.
- Taking any actions needed to establish paternity and obtain child support (including but not limited to testifying at hearings or obtaining blood tests).

Regulations governing the Office of Child Support (OCS) can be found in the IV-D Manual (4DM).

#### 201143349/SB

Non-cooperation exists when a client, without good cause, willfully and repeatedly fails or refuses to provide information and/or take an action resulting in delays or prevention of support action. 4DM 115.

Before finding a client non-cooperative, the Support Specialist must establis hand document that the client failed and/or refused to provide known or obtainable information and/or to take an action without an acceptable reason or excuse. 4DM 115. The goal of the cooperation requirement is to obtain support. Support specialists should find non-cooperation only as a last resort. There is no minimum information requirement. 4DM 115.

In the present case, the Department offe red no evidence from the Offi ce of Chil d Support to substantiate that Claimant's daught er did not cooperate with the Office of Child Support. It is noted that no one from the Office of Child Support testified at the hearing. Without any proof by the Department that Claimant's daughter failed to cooperate with regard to child support, I cannot find that the Department was correct in its decision to place a negative action on Claimant's FAP case due to failure to cooperate with child support requirements.

It is noted that some mention was made of Claim ant's MA case being negatively affected by a child support requirements sanction, so to the extent the Department imposed a negative action or denial based on failure to cooperate with child support requirements. The Department's decision in that regard is not correct.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law decides that the Department was not correct in its dec ision to place a neg ative action on Claimant's MA and FAP cases due to failure to cooperate with child support requirements, and it is the herefore ORDERED that the Department's decision is REVERSED. It is further ORDERED that the Department shall:

- Remove the negative action taken on Claimant's MA and FAP cases due to noncooperation with child support requirements.
- 2. Initiate restoration of Cla imant's FAP benefits, effective July 1, 2011, if Claimant is otherwise eligible for FAP.

### 201143349/SB

3. Issue supplemental payments for any missed or increased FAP benefits, effective July 1, 2011 and ongoing if Claimant is otherwise eligible for FAP.

Susan Burke
Administrative Law Judge
For Maura Corrigan Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>9/8/11</u>

Date Mailed: 9/8/11

**NOTICE:** Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party wit hin 30 days of the ma iling date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde r a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

### SB/sm

