STATE OF MICHIGAN

MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No. 2011-42587

Issue No. <u>3003</u>

Case No.

Hearing Date: August 24, 2011

Macomb County DHS (20)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Colleen M. Mamelka

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administ rative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was conducted from Detroit, Michigan on Wednesday, August 24, 2011. The Claimant appeared and testified.

Department of Human Services ("Department").

<u>ISSUE</u>

Whether the Department properly denied the Claimant's applic ation for food assistance ("FAP") benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claim ant submitted an applicat ion for public assistance seeking FAP benefits on June 2, 2011.
- The Claimant's group size is 4.
- 3. The Claim ant receiv es income from employment working 40 hours a week, earning \$12.38 an hour.
- 4. The Claimant is responsible for a m onthly mortgage payment and is required to pay for all utilities.

- 5. On June 3, 2011, the Department deni ed the FAP application due to excess income based on a group size of one. Exhibit 1, p. 11)
- 6. The Department notified the Claimant of the Determination.
- 7. On June 13, 2011, the Department received the Claimant's timely written request for hearing. (Exhibit 2)

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Food Assistanc e Program, formerly k nown as the Food Stamp program, is established by the F ood Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Feder al Regulations. The Department of Human Services, formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency, administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10 et seq., and the Michigan Administrative Code, Rules 400. 3001-3015. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual ("BAM"), the Bridges Eligibility Manual ("BEM"), and the Bridges Reference Manual ("RFT").

Group composition is the determination of which persons living together are included in the FAP program group. BEM 212. Spous es who are legally married and live together must be in the same group. BEM 212. Shelter expense is an allowable expense and includes rent payments. BEM 554. In determining a FAP allotment, a Heat and Utility Standard is used whenever a FAP group contributes to the heat expense separate from rent, mortgage, or condominium/maintenance payments. BEM 554.

All countable earned and unearned income available to the client must be considered in determining the Claimant's eligibility for program benefits. BEM 500. The Department determines a client's eligibility for program benefits based on the client's actual income and/or prospective income. Prospective in come is income not yet received but expected. BEM 505. Prospecti ve budgeting is the best estima te of the client's future income for future benefits. BEM 505. All income is converted to a monthly amount. BEM 505. A standard monthly amount must be determined for each income source used in the budget. BEM 505. Weekly benefit amounts are converted to a monthly amount by multiplying the weekly amount by 4.3. BEM 505. Bi-weekly amounts are converted by multiplying the amount by 2.15. BEM 505.

In this case, the Department processed the FAP budget and included the Claimant's gross earnings from employment. The D epartment used the incorrect group size. Specifically, the Department input a group size of one as oppo sed to four. In addition, the Claimant testified that he is pays \$462/month for his mortgage and is responsible for utilities. A shelter expense and the heat/u tility standard were n ot conside red.

2011-42587/CMM

Ultimately, based on the Claimant's earnings, group size of one, and no expenses, the Department found the Claimant not eligible for FAP benefits due to excess income. The Department acknowledged the error in calc ulating benefits due to the group size. Ultimately, the Depart ment must recalculate the Claimant's FAP benefit s and shal I include the Claimant's shelter expense and the heat/utility standard provided verification is submitted. In light of the foregoing, the Department's denial is not upheld.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law finds the Department's FAP denial is not upheld.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED:

- 1. The Depar tment's FAP calculation based on the June 2, 2011 application is REVERSED.
- 2. The Department shall re-open the J une 2, 2011 application and initiate the recalculation of FAP benefits to incl ude the correct group size and applic able expenses in accordance with Department policy.
- 3. The Department shall notify the Claimant of the determination in accordance with Department policy.
- 4. The Department shall supplement for lost benefits (if an y) that the Claimant was entitled to receive if otherwise eligible and qualified.

Colleen M. Mamilka

Colleen M. Mamelka Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: August 29, 2011

Date Mailed: August 29, 2011

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at t he request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this

2011-42587/CMM

Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Or der to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

CMM/cl cc: