# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No.: 2011-42534

Issue No.: 2012

Case No.:

Hearing Date: September 22, 2011

Macomb County DHS (12)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Colleen M. Mamelka

# **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the c laimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was conducted from Detroit, Michigan on Thursday, September 22, 2011. The Claimant appeared and testified. The Claim ant was represented by appeared on behalf of the Department of Human Services ("Department").

## <u>ISSUE</u>

Whether the Department properly proc essed the Claimant's September 2010 application for Medical Assistance ("MA") benefits?

### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claimant signed an applic ation fo r MA benefits on Sept ember 1, 2010. (Exhibits 1, 7, 8)
- 2. On October 26<sup>th</sup>, November 16<sup>th</sup>, December 8<sup>th</sup>, 2010, and January 7, 2011, the Authorized Hearing Representative ("AHR") sent written inquiries regarding the status of the Claimant's application. (Exhibits 2 5)
- The application was not registered and/or processed.

4. On April 13, 2011, the D epartment received the Claimant's timely written request for hearing. (Exhibit 6)

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Medical Assistance program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400. 10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual ("BAM"), the Bridges Eligibility Manual ("BEM"), and the Bridges Reference Tables ("RFT").

A request for public assistance may be in per son, by mail, telephone or through an internet application. BAM 110. Any per son, regardless of age, or his authorize d representative, may apply for assistance. BAM 110. An author ized representative ("AR") is a person who applies for assistance on behalf of the cli ent and/or otherwis e acts of his behalf. BAM 110. An individual, who is not a spouse, parent, legal guardian, adult child, stepchild, or other specified relative of the person, must have a signed authorization to act on behalf of the client, by the client, client's spouse, parent(s) or legal guardian. BAM 110. An AR assumes all respon sibilities of the client and must provide his name, address, and title or rela tionship to the client. BAM 110. The application form must be signed by the client or the individual acting as the authorized representative. BAM 110. An application received from an agency is acceptable if it is signed by an individual and is accompanied by written documentation from the clien t authorizing the agency to act as the authoriz ed representative. BAM 110. For MA designated in writing by the client. purposes, an authorized representative must be BAM 110. If a client refuses to cooperate in the application process, a denial notice is sent within the standard of promptness. BAM 115.

In this case, the Department was unable to find the Cla imant's applic ation. The Claimant attested to signing the application on September 1, 2010 and aut horizing the AHR to act on her behalf. The AHR submi tted a mail receipt es tablishing that on September 9, 2010, the Department received a shipment purportedly containing the application at issue. Subsequently, the AHR repeatedly inquired regarding the status of the application. Ultimately, in consideration of the evidence and testimony presented, it is found that the AHR did submit an application for MA benefits retroactive to August 2010 on September 9, 2010. Accordingly, the Department's actions are not upheld.

#### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law finds the Department's actions are not upheld.

#### 2011-42534/CMM

# Accordingly, it is ORDERED:

- 1. The Department's actions are not upheld.
- 2. The Department shall regist er and initiate pr ocessing of the September 9, 2010 MA application in accordance with Department policy.
- 3. The Department sha II notify the Claim ant, and her Authorized Hearing Representative, of the determination in accordance with Department policy.
- 4. The Department shall supplement for lost benefits (if any) that the Claimant was entitled to receive if otherwise eligib le and qualified in accordance with Department policy.

Colleen M. Mamuka

Colleen M. Mamelka

Administrative Law Judge

For Maura Corrigan, Director

Department of Human Services

Date Signed: September 22, 2011

Date Mailed: September 22, 2011

**NOTICE**: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party wit hin 30 days of the ma iling date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

• A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.

#### 2011-42534/CMM

- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

#### CMM/cl

cc: