### STATE OF MICHIGAN

# MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 201142453

Issue No.: 3002

Case No.: Hearing Date:

August 3, 2011

Macomb County DHS (20)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Christian Gardocki

## **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on August 3, 2011 from Detroit, Michigan. The claimant appeared and testified. On behalf of Department of Human Services (DHS), Specialist, appeared and testified.

## <u>ISSUE</u>

Whether DHS properly determined Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefit issuance effective 6/2011.

## FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was an ongoing FAP benefit recipient.
- 2. Claimant was part of a household that included herself and Michael, a person with an unspecified relation to Claimant.
- 3. Michael began receiving Supplemental Security Income (SSI) benefits beginning 6/2011.
- Effective 6/2011, Claimant and Michael each received \$674/month in federal SSI benefits and \$42/three months (\$14/month on average) in State of Michigan SSI benefits.

#### 201142453/CG

- 5. As of 6/2011, Claimant was responsible for a \$615/month rental obligation.
- 6. On 5/20/11, DHS determined Claimant was eligible for \$172/month in FAP benefits effective 6/2011.
- 7. On 5/31/11, Claimant requested a hearing disputing the 6/2011 FAP benefit amount issuance.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Food Assistance Program (formerly known as the Food Stamp Program) is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). DHS administers the FAP pursuant to Michigan Compiled Laws 400.10, *et seq.*, and Michigan Administrative Code R 400.3001-3015. DHS regulations are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT). Updates to DHS regulations are found in the Bridges Policy Bulletin (BPB).

The undersigned will refer to the DHS regulations in effect as of 5/2011, the month of the DHS decision which Claimant is disputing. Current DHS manuals may be found online at the following URL: <a href="http://www.mfia.state.mi.us/olmweb/ex/html/">http://www.mfia.state.mi.us/olmweb/ex/html/</a>.

In the present case, DHS reduced Claimant's FAP benefits to \$172/month effective 6/2011 due to an increase in household income. Claimant had no specific reason to dispute the reduction other than a general feeling that the reduction was more than it should have been based on the increase in income. BEM 556 outlines the proper procedures for calculating FAP benefits.

It was not disputed that effective 6/2011, Claimant and her other household member each received \$688/month (\$674 in federal and \$14 from the state) in SSI benefits. DHS is to budget the gross amount of federal and state SSI benefits. BEM 503 at 23. There was no other household income. It is found that the household income was \$1376.

DHS uses certain expenses to determine net income for FAP eligibility and benefit levels. BEM 554 at 1. For groups without a senior (over 60 years old), disabled or disabled veteran (SDV) member, DHS considers the following expenses: child care and excess shelter (housing and utilities) up to a capped amount and court ordered child support and arrearages paid to non-household members. For groups containing SDV members, DHS also considers the medical expenses for the SDV group member(s) and the full excess shelter expense. Because the FAP benefit group has a disabled member (two members actually), the FAP benefit group is considered an SDV group.

#### 201142453/CG

Verified child support, day care and medical expenses (medical expenses for SDV groups only) are subtracted from Claimant's monthly countable income to determine Claimant's adjusted gross income. Claimant did not claim to have any of these expenses.

Claimant's two-person FAP benefit group received a standard deduction of \$141. RFT 255. The standard deduction is given to all FAP benefit groups though the amount varies based on the benefit group size. The standard deduction is also subtracted from the countable monthly income to calculate the group's adjusted gross income. The adjusted gross income amount is found to be \$1235.

Claimant stated as of 8/2011, she was responsible for a \$650/month rental obligation. The current case is concerned with the correctness of a 6/2011 benefit issuance so the amount of rent that Claimant is responsible for paying in 8/2011 is an irrelevant issue. Claimant stated that she was responsible for a \$615/month rent obligation in 6/2011.

DHS gives a flat utility standard to all clients. BPB 2010-008. The utility standard of \$588 (see RFT 255) encompasses all utilities (water, gas, electric, telephone) and is unchanged even if a client's monthly utility expenses exceed the \$588 amount. The total shelter obligation is calculated by adding Claimant's housing expenses to the utility credit (\$588); this amount is found to be \$1203.

DHS only credits FAP benefit groups with what DHS calls an "excess shelter" expense. This expense is calculated by taking Claimant's total shelter obligation and subtracting half of Claimant's adjusted gross income. Claimant's excess shelter amount is found to be \$586 (rounding up).

Claimant's net income is determined by taking Claimant's adjusted gross income (\$1235) and subtracting the allowable excess shelter expense (\$586). Claimant's net income is found to be \$649. A chart listed in RFT 260 is used to determine the proper FAP benefit issuance. Based on Claimant's group size and net income, Claimant's FAP benefit amount is found to be \$172, the same amount calculated by DHS. It is found that DHS properly calculated Claimant's FAP benefits for the benefit month of 6/2011.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds that DHS properly determined Claimant's FAP benefit issuance for 6/2011

#### 201142453/CG

as \$172/month. The actions taken by DHS are AFFIRMED.

Christian Gardocki
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: August 5, 2011

Date Mailed: August 5, 2011

**NOTICE**: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt of the rehearing decision.

#### CG/hw

cc: