# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2011-41945

Issue No.: 2001

Case No.:

Hearing Date: October 13, 2011 County: Oakland (63-02)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer

### **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on October 13, 2011, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included

# **ISSUE**

With respect to the Adult Medical Assistance (AMP) Program, did the Department properly deny Claimant's application? Sclose Claimant's case?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- Claimant ☐ applied for ☒ was a recipient of AMP benefits.
- 2. Claimant  $\square$  was  $\boxtimes$  was not living with a spouse during the time period in question.
- 3. The total countable income of Claimant's household was \$600 at all times relevant to this matter.
- 4. The Department ☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ closed Claimant's case due to excess income.

5. On April 30, 2011, the Department sent notice of the ☐ denial ☒ closu Claimant.	ire to
6. On May 9, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case.	
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	
The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is adminished by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> Department policies are continuous that the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).	tained
Additionally, RFT 236, "AMP Income Levels (By Living Arrangement)," requires the maximum monthly income a person can have and be eligible for AMP is \$316. It case, Claimant's monthly income is \$600, which clearly exceeds the legal limit of \$100.	n this
Based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for reasons on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department	stated
<ul> <li>□ properly denied Claimant's application.</li> <li>□ improperly denied Claimant's application.</li> <li>□ improperly closed Claimant's case.</li> <li>□ improperly closed Claimant's case.</li> </ul>	ation.
DECISION AND ORDER	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusion Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly.	ons of
Accordingly, the Department's AMP decision is $\boxtimes$ AFFIRMED $\square$ REVERSED for reasons stated on the record.	or the
☐ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAY THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:	'S OF
Jan Lev Administrative Law of for Maura Corrigan, Di Department of Human Se  Date Signed: October 17, 2011  Date Mailed: October 17, 2011	Judge rector

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
  of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

JL/pf

